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## Summary

| Standard Listed part of | Compliant |
| :--- | :---: |
| FCC CFR 47 part 24/ RSS 133, RSS-Gen |  |
|  |  |
| $2.1046 / 6.4$ RF power output, conducted | Yes |
| $2.1049 / 6.6$ Occupied bandwidth | Yes |
| $2.1051 / 6.5$ Band edge | Yes |
| $2.1051 / 6.5$ Spurious emission at antenna terminals | Yes |
| $2.1053 / 6.5$ Field strength of spurious radiation | Yes |
| $2.1055 / 6.3$ Frequency stability | -, Note |

Note: The test was not performed due to no changes regarding frequency determining circuitry.

## Description of the test object

| Equipment: | Radio equipment Radio 4415 B2 B25 <br> Product number KRC 161 636/1 and KRC 161 636/3 |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | FCC ID: TA8AKRC161636 <br> IC: 287AB-AS161636 |
| HVIN: | AS161636 |
| FVIN: | CXP 901 7316/7, rev. R68LB |
| Hardware revision state: | KRC 161 636/1: R2B |
|  | KRC 161 636/3: R1C |$\quad$| Tested configuration: | Single RAT NB IoT stand-alone operation and Multi RAT with LTE |
| :--- | :--- |
| Frequency range: | TX: 1930 - 1995 MHz (for GSM 1930 - 1990 MHz) |
| RX: 1850 - 1915 MHz (for GSM 1850 -1910 MHz) |  |

Channel bandwidths: $\quad 200$ kHz

Modulations: QPSK

RF power Tolerance: $\quad+0.6 /-2.5 \mathrm{~dB}$
CPRI Speed Up to 10.1 Gbit/s

Nominal supply voltage: -48VDC
The information above is supplied by the manufacturer.

Note: KRC 161 636/1 and KRC 161 636/3 are electrically identical according to the manufacturer.

## Purpose of test

The purpose of this test is to justify a Permissive Change to include Single RAT NB IoT standalone operation, and Multi RAT NB IoT stand-alone + LTE, NB IoT stand-alone + WCDMA and NB IoT stand alone + GSM.

## Operation modes during measurements

Measurements with multi RAT configuration was limited to NB IoT stand-alone + LTE representing worst case but includes configurations stated in section Purpose of test. Measurements with NB IoT stand-alone carriers were performed with the test object transmitting test model N-TM representing QPSK as defined in 3GPP TS 36.141. Measurements with LTE carriers measurements were performed with the test object transmitting test model E-TM1.1 representing QPSK as defined in 3GPP TS 36.141.

All measurements were performed with the test object configured for maximum transmit power. The measured configurations covers worst case settings.

The duty cycle was determined using the method described in ANSI 63.26 section 5.2.4.4.2. Then $10 \log \left(\frac{1}{\text { duty cycle }}\right)$ was added to the measured power level to compute the average power during constant transmission.
A $86 \%$ duty cycle of the IoT carrier resulted in a 0.65 dBm adjustment added to the measured value.

In multi RAT mode, when added a LTE carrier the total combined duty cycle was 90.7 \% calculated $10 \log \left(\frac{1}{((1+0.86+0.86) / 3)}\right)$ resulting in a total power adjustment of 0.42 dBm .

## Conducted measurements

The test object was supplied with -48 VDC by an external power supply. Additional connections are documented in the set-up drawings for conducted measurements.

## Radiated measurements

The test object was powered with -48 VDC by an external power supply. Additional connections are documented in the set-up drawings for radiated measurements.

## References

Measurements were done according to relevant parts of the following standards:
ANSI C63.4-2014
CFR 47 part 2, March 2018
CFR 47 part 24, March 2018
ANSI C63.26-2015
KDB 662911 D01 Multiple Transmitter Output v02r01
KDB 971168 D01 Power Meas License Digital Systems v03r01
KDB 971168 D03 IM Emission Repeater Amp v01
3GPP TS 36141 version 13.6.0
3GPP TS 37.141, version 13.5.0
RSS-Gen Issue 4
RSS-133 Issue 6
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Measurement equipment

|  | Calibration Due | RISE number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Test site Tesla | 2019-12 | 503881 |
| R\&S ESU 40 | 2018-07 | 901385 |
| R\&S FSQ 40 | 2018-07 | 504143 |
| R\&S FSW 43 | 2018-08 | 902073 |
| Control computer with R\&S software EMC32 version 10.20.01 | - | BX62351 |
| High pass filter 3-26.5 GHz | 2019-02 | BX40074 |
| High pass filter 3-26.5 GHz | 2018-06 | 901502 |
| RF attenuator Weinschel WA73-20-11 | 2018-05 | 900691 |
| Coaxial cable Sucoflex 102EA | 2018-05 | BX50191 |
| Coaxial cable Sucoflex 102EA | 2018-05 | BX50236 |
| Coaxial cable Rosenberg | 2018-08 | 503508 |
| Coaxial cable Rosenberg | 2018-08 | 503509 |
| Coaxial cable Huber+Suhner | 2018-09 | BX62218 |
| ETS Lindgren BiConiLog Antenna 3142E | 2019-03 | BX61914 |
| EMCO Horn Antenna 3115 | 2019-12 | 502175 |
| Flann std gain horn 20240-20 | - | 503674 |
| $\mu$ Comp Nordic, Low Noise Amplifier | 2019-01 | 901545 |
| Miteq, Low Noise Amplifier | 2018-12 | 503278 |
| Temperature and humidity meter, Testo 635 | 2018-06 | 504203 |
| Temperature and humidity meter, Testo 625 | 2018-06 | 504188 |

## Uncertainties

Measurement and test instrument uncertainties are described in the quality assurance documentation "SP-QD 10885". The uncertainties are calculated with a coverage factor k=2 (95\% level of confidence).
Compliance evaluation is based on a shared risk principle with respect to the measurement uncertainty.

## Reservation

The test results in this report apply only to the particular test object as declared in the report.

## Delivery of test object

The test object was delivered: 2018-01-26.

## Manufacturer's representative

Mikael Jansson, Ericsson AB.

## Test engineers

Tomas Isbring for radiated tests, RISE
Andreas Johnson for conducted tests, RISE.

## Test participant(-s)

None.

Test frequencies used for radiated and conducted measurements

| EARFCN <br> Downlink <br> B25 | Frequency <br> [MHz] | Symbolic <br> name | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 8042 | 1930.2 | IoTB | TX bottom frequency NB IoT stand alone |
| 8365 | 1962.5 | IoTM | TX mid frequency NB IoT stand alone |
| 8688 | 1994.8 | IoTT | TX top frequency NB IoT stand alone |
| 8042 | 1930.2 | IoT2B | 2 carrier bottom frequencies config 1NB IoT stand alone |
| 8238 | 1949.8 |  |  |
| 8492 | 1975.2 | IoT2T | 2 carrier top frequencies config 1 NB IoT stand alone |
| 8688 | 1994.8 |  |  |
| 8042 | 1930.2 | IoT2Bs | 2 carrier bottom frequencies config 2 NB IoT stand alone |
| 8056 | 1931.6 |  |  |
| 8674 | 1993.4 | IoT2Ts |  |
| 8688 | 1994.8 |  |  |
| 8042 | 1930.2 |  |  |
| 8056 | 1931.6 | 1L2IoTb | Multi RAT bottom configuration |
| 8215 | 1947.5 |  |  |
| 8290 | 1955.0 |  | 1L2IoTm |
| 8449 | 1970.9 | Multi RAT mid configuration |  |
| 8463 | 1972.3 |  |  |
| 8495 | 1977.5 |  |  |
| 8674 | 1993.4 |  |  |
| 8688 | 1994.8 |  |  |

## Test frequencies used for radiated measurements

| EARFCN Downlink B25 | Frequency [MHz] | Symbolic name | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8042 | 1930.2 | IoTB | TX bottom frequency NB IoT stand alone |
| 8365 | 1962.5 | IoTM | TX mid frequency NB IoT stand alone |
| 8688 | 1994.8 | IoTT | TX top frequency NB IoT stand alone |
| $\begin{aligned} & 8042 \\ & 8044 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1930.2 \\ & 1930.4 \end{aligned}$ | IoT2Bn | 2 carrier TX bottom frequency NB IoT stand alone |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8384 \\ & 8386 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1964.4 \\ & 1964.6 \end{aligned}$ | IoT2Mn | 2 carrier TX mid frequency config 1 NB IoT stand alone |
| $\begin{aligned} & 8686 \\ & 8688 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1994.6 \\ & 1994.8 \end{aligned}$ | IoT2Tn | 2 carrier TX top frequency NB IoT stand alone |
| $\begin{aligned} & 8686 \\ & 8688 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1952.7 \\ & 1972.3 \end{aligned}$ | IoT2M | 2 carrier TX mid frequency config 2 NB IoT stand alone |
| $\begin{aligned} & 8042 \\ & 8044 \\ & 8213 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1930.2 \\ & 1930.4 \\ & 1947.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1L2IoT } \\ \text { BIM } \end{gathered}$ | 2 carriers TX SA-IoT + 1 carrier TX 1.4 MHz LTE, BIM configuration |
| $\begin{aligned} & 8497 \\ & 8686 \\ & 8688 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1975.7 \\ & 1994.6 \\ & 1994.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1L2IoT } \\ \text { TIM } \end{gathered}$ | 1 carrier TX 1.4 MHz LTE + 2 carriers TX SA-IoT, TIM configuration |
| $\begin{aligned} & 8042 \\ & 8092 \\ & 8213 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1930.2 \\ & 1935.2 \\ & 1947.3 \end{aligned}$ | 1L2IoT B | 2 carriers TX SA-IoT + 1 carrier TX 1.4 MHz LTE, bottom configuration |

All RX frequencies were configured 80 MHz above the corresponding TX frequency according the applicable duplex offset for the operating band.
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## Test setup: conducted measurements



## Test object:

| 1. | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Radio } 4415 \text { B2 B25, KRC } 161636 / 1, \text { rev. R2B, s/n: B440941505 } \\ \text { With Radio Software: CXP } 9017316 / 7 \text { rev. R68L B }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Fich |

FCC ID: TA8AKRC161636 and IC: 287AB-AS161636

## Associated equipment:

```
2. RBS 6601 Main Unit:
    SUP 6601, 1/BFL 901 009/4, rev. R1E, s/n: BR81844322
    DUS }41\mathrm{ 01, KDU }137\mathrm{ 624/1, rev. R5A/A, s/n: D16H292129
    with software: CXP 102 051/27, rev. R22A156
```


## Functional test equipment:

3. Computer, HP EliteBook 8560w, BAMS - 1001236852
4. RF Attenuator: RISE number: 900691
5. Terminator, 50 ohm
6. RISE Test Instrumentation according to measurement equipment list for each test. The signal analyzer was connected to the RISE 10 MHz reference standard during all measurements.
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Test setup: radiated measurements


1. $\quad$ Radio 4415 B2 B25, KRC 161 636/1, rev. R2B, s/n: B440941505 With Radio Software: CXP 901 7316/7, rev. R68LB.
FCC ID: TA8AKRC161636 and IC: 287AB-AS161636

## Associated equipment:

| 2. | RBS 6601 Main Unit: |
| :--- | :--- |
| SUP 6601, 1/BFL 901 009/4, rev. R1E, s/n: BR81844322 |  |
| DUS 41 01, KDU 137 624/1, rev. R5A/A, s/n: D16H292129 |  |
| with software: CXP 102 051/27, rev. R22A156 |  |$|$| 3. | Netgear switch GS108E |
| :--- | :--- |
| 5. | GPS 02 01, NCD 901 41/1, rev. R1D, s/n: TU8KH75515 |
| 6. | GPS Active Antenna, KRE 101 2082/1 |

## Functional test equipment:

4. Computer, HP EliteBook 8560w, BAMS - 1001236852
5. Attenuator
6. ALD Control, Andrew, model: ATM200-A20, s/n: DESA101412073
7. R\&S ESIB 26, RISE number: 503 292, for supervision purpose only
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## Interfaces:

| Power input configuration DC: -48 VDC | Power |
| :--- | :--- |
| RF A, 4.3-10 connector, combined TX/RX | Antenna |
| RF B, 4.3-10 connector, combined TX/RX | Antenna |
| RF C, 4.3-10 connector, combined TX/RX | Antenna |
| RF D, 4.3-10 connector, combined TX/RX | Antenna |
| 1, Optical Interface Link, single mode opto fibre | Signal |
| 2, Optical Interface Link, not used in this configuration | Signal |
| EXT Alarm, shielded multi-wire | Signal |
| ALD, shielded multi-wire | Signal |
| Ground wire | Ground |
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RF power output measurements according to CFR 47 § 24.232 / IC RSS-133 6.4, conducted

| Date |  | Temperature | Humidity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2018-02-22$ | $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $18 \% \pm 5 \%$ |
|  | $2018-02-27$ | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $12 \% \pm 5 \%$ |
|  | $2018-03-01$ | $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $12 \% \pm 5 \%$ |

## Test set-up and procedure

The measurements were made per definition in ANSI C63.26, 5.2.3.4. The test object was connected to a signal analyser measuring peak and RMS output power in CDF mode. A resolution bandwidth of 80 MHz was used.

| Measurement equipment | RISE number |
| :--- | :--- |
| R\&S FSW 43 | 902073 |
| Coaxial cable Sucoflex 102EA | BX50191 |
| Coaxial cable Sucoflex 102EA | BX50236 |
| RF attenuator | 900691 |
| Testo 635, temperature and humidity meter | 504203 |

Measurement uncertainty: 1.1 dB

## Results

Single carrier N-TM
Rated output power level at each RF port 1x 43 dBm .

|  | Output power CCDF [RMS dBm/ PAR dB] |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Symbolic name | Port RF A | Port RF B | Port RF C | Port RF D | Total power ${ }^{11}$ |
| IoTB | $42.65 / 5.58$ | $42.59 / 5.48$ | $42.74 / 5.51$ | $42.61 / 5.48$ | 48.67 |
| IoTM | $42.49 / 5.60$ | $42.75 / 5.45$ | $42.86 / 5.51$ | $42.76 / 5.48$ | 48.74 |
| IoTT | $42.22 / 5.66$ | $42.14 / 5.52$ | $42.29 / 5.58$ | $42.28 / 5.52$ | 48.25 |

${ }^{1)}$ : In-band power measurements according to ANSI C63.26 section 6.4.3.1
Note: The PAR value is the 0.1 \% Peak to Average Ratio.

Multi carrier $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{TM}$
Rated output power level at each RF port 2 x 43 dBm .

|  | Output power CCDF [RMS dBm/ PAR dB] |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Symbolic name | Port RF A | Port RF B | Port RF C | Port RF D | Total power $^{1)}$ |
| IoT2B | $45.10 / 7.62$ | $45.03 / 7.64$ | $45.14 / 7.70$ | $45.16 / 7.70$ | 51.13 |
| IoT2T | $45.32 / 7.66$ | $45.24 / 7.64$ | $45.37 / 7.68$ | $45.16 / 7.68$ | 51.29 |

Multi RAT IoT: N-TM, LTE: E-TM 1.1

|  | Output power CCDF [RMS dBm/ PAR dB] |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Symbolic name | Port RF A | Port RF B | Port RF C | Port RF D | Total power ${ }^{1}$ ) |
| 1L2IoT | $45.11 / 7.60$ | $45.09 / 7.62$ | $45.13 / 7.60$ | $45.06 / 7.62$ | 51.12 |
| 1L2IoTm | $45.27 / 7.60$ | $45.26 / 7.60$ | $45.26 / 7.60$ | $45.31 / 7.60$ | 51.30 |

${ }^{1)}$ : In-band power measurements according to ANSI C63.26 section 6.4.3.1
Note: The PAR value is the 0.1 \% Peak to Average Ratio.
Single carrier N-TM
Rated output power level at RF connector 1x $43 \mathrm{dBm} /$ port.

|  | Output power per 1 MHz [RMS dBm] |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Symbolic name | Port RF A | Port RF B | Port RF C | Port RF D | Total power ${ }^{2)}$ |
| IoTM | 42.97 | 43.12 | 43.28 | 43.02 | 49.28 |

${ }^{2)}$ : Measure and add $10 \log \left(\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{ant}}\right)$ according to ANSI C63.26 section 6.4.3.2.4

## Remark

ERP/EIRP compliance is addressed at the time of licensing. as required by the responsible FCC/IC Bureau(s). Licensee's are required to take into account maximum antenna gain used in combination with above power settings to prevent the radiated output power to exceed the limits.

## Limits

§24.232 The maximum output power may not exceed $3280 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{MHz}$ (EIRP). The Peak to Average Ratio (PAR) may not exceed 13 dB .

RSS-133 The maximum equivalent isotopically radiated power (EIRP) limits in SRSP-510 apply, resulting in a maximum EIRP of $3280 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{MHz}$. The Peak to Average Ratio (PAR) may not exceed 13 dB .

Complies? $\quad$ Yes
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Occupied bandwidth measurements according to CFR47 2.1049 / RSS-Gen 6.6

| Date | Temperature | Humidity |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2018-02-27$ | $12 \% \pm 5 \%$ |

## Test set-up and procedure

The measurements were made per definition in ANSI C63.26, 5.4.4. The output was connected to a signal analyzer with the Peak detector activated in max hold.

| Measurement equipment | RISE number |
| :--- | :--- |
| R\&S FSW 43 | 902073 |
| Coaxial cable Sucoflex 102EA | BX50191 |
| Coaxial cable Sucoflex 102EA | BX50236 |
| RF attenuator | 900691 |
| Testo 635. temperature and humidity meter | 504203 |

Measurement uncertainty: 3.7 dB

## Results

Single carrier N-TM

| Diagram | Symbolic name | Tested Port | Occupied BW <br> $(99 \%)[\mathrm{kHz}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | IoTB | RF A | 199.2 |
| 2 | IoTM | RF A | 198.6 |
| 3 | IoTT | RF A | 199.1 |
| 4 | IoTM | RF B | 198.4 |
| 5 | IoTM | RF C | 187.0 |
| 6 | IoTM | RF D | 195.8 |

Diagram 1:


15:10:46 27.02.2010
Diagram 2:


[^0]Diagram 3:


15:22:40 27.02.2010
Diagram 4:


[^1]Diagram 5:


15:13:06 27.02.2010
Diagram 6:
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## Band edge measurements according to CFR 47 §2.1049

| Date | Temperature | Humidity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2018-02-27$ | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $12 \% \pm 5 \%$ |
|  | $2018-02-28$ | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $12 \% \pm 5 \%$ |
| $2018-04-06$ | $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $9 \% \pm 5 \%$ |  |

## Test set-up and procedure

The measurements were made per definition in ANSI C63.26, 5.7.3. The test object was connected to a spectrum analyzer with the RMS detector activated.

A RBW 1\% of EBW was used up to 1 MHz away from the band edges. A smaller resolution bandwidth is permitted provided that the measured power is integrated over the full required measurement bandwidth. Where a smaller RBW was used the limit in the plot is adjusted by $10 \log \left(\mathrm{RBW}_{\text {used }} / \mathrm{RBW}_{\text {specified }}\right)[\mathrm{dB}]$ according to the following table:

| Carrier BW | RBW $_{\text {used }}$ | RBW $_{\text {specified }}(1 \%$ of EBW) | Limit correction | Adjusted limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 200 kHz | 2 kHz | 2.88 kHz | -1.6 dBm | -14.6 dBm |

From 1 MHz to 30 MHz away from the band edges a RBW of 100 kHz was used. To compensate for the reduced RBW the limit was adjusted by 10 dB to -23 dBm in this frequency range.

| Measurement equipment | RISE number |
| :--- | :--- |
| R\&S FSW 43 | 902073 |
| Coaxial cable Sucoflex 102EA | BX50191 |
| Coaxial cable Sucoflex 102EA | BX50236 |
| RF attenuator | 900691 |
| Testo 635. temperature and humidity meter | 504203 |

Measurement uncertainty: 3.7 dB

## Results

Single carrier N-TM

| Diagram | Symbolic name | Tested Port |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 a-b | IoTB | RF A |
| $2 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}$ | IoTT | RF A |
| $3 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}$ | IoTB | RF B |
| $4 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}$ | IoTT | RF B |
| $5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}$ | IoTB | RF C |
| $6 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}$ | IoTT | RF C |
| $7 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}$ | IoTB | RF D |
| $8 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}$ | IoTT | RF D |

Multi carrier N-TM

| Diagram | Symbolic name | Tested Port |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $9 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}$ | IoT2Bs | RF A |
| $10 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}$ | IoT2Ts | RF A |
| $11 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}$ | IoT2Bs | RF B |
| $12 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}$ | IoT2Ts | RF B |
| $13 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}$ | IoT2Bs | RF C |
| $14 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}$ | IoT2Ts | RF C |
| $15 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}$ | IoT2Bs | RF D |
| $16 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}$ | IoT2Ts | RF D |

## Limits

CFR 47 §24.238 and RSS-133 6.5

Outside a licensee's frequency band(s) of operation the power of any emission shall be attenuated below the transmitter power $(\mathrm{P})$ by at least $43+10 \log (\mathrm{P}) \mathrm{dB}$. resulting in a limit of -13 dBm.

| Complies? | Yes |
| :--- | :--- |

Diagram 1a:


Note: The limit in the diagram shall be -14.6 dBm instead of -13 dBm .
Diagram 1b:


Diagram 2a:
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Note: The limit in the diagram shall be -14.6 dBm instead of -13 dBm .

Diagram 2b:


Diagram 3a:


Note: The limit in the diagram shall be -14.6 dBm instead of -13 dBm .

Diagram 3b:


Diagram 4a:


15:75:13 27.02.2010
Note: The limit in the diagram shall be -14.6 dBm instead of -13 dBm .
Diagram 4b:


Diagram 5a:


5:50:31 27.02.2010
Note: The limit in the diagram shall be -14.6 dBm instead of -13 dBm .

Diagram 5b:


Diagram 6a:


15:49:13 27.022010
Note: The limit in the diagram shall be -14.6 dBm instead of -13 dBm .

Diagram 6b:


Diagram 7a


15:55:56 27.02.2010
Note: The limit in the diagram shall be -14.6 dBm instead of -13 dBm .

Diagram 7b:


Diagram 8a:


15:52:21 27.02.2010
Note: The limit in the diagram shall be -14.6 dBm instead of -13 dBm .
Diagram 8b:


Diagram 9a:


Note: The limit in the diagram shall be -14.6 dBm instead of -13 dBm .

Diagram 9b:


[^3]Diagram 10a:


14:09:41 20.02.2010
Note: The limit in the diagram shall be -14.6 dBm instead of -13 dBm .

Diagram 10b:


14:11:01 20.02 .2018
The emission at 1996.2 MHz was -15.68 dBm measured with the channel power method with 1 MHz channel bandwidth. The result should be compared to the limit -13 dBm .

Diagram 11a:


Note: The limit in the diagram shall be -14.6 dBm instead of -13 dBm .
Diagram 11b:


The emission at 1928.8 MHz was -18.49 dBm measured with the channel power method with 1 MHz channel bandwidth. The result should be compared to the limit -13 dBm .

Diagram 12a:


14:20:50 20.02.2010
Note: The limit in the diagram shall be -14.6 dBm instead of -13 dBm .

Diagram 12b:


The emission at 1996.2 MHz was -15.22 dBm measured with the channel power method with 1 MHz channel bandwidth. The result should be compared to the limit -13 dBm .

Diagram 13a:


Note: The limit in the diagram shall be -14.6 dBm instead of -13 dBm .
Diagram 13b:


Diagram 14a:


15:03:16 20.02.2010
Note: The limit in the diagram shall be -14.6 dBm instead of -13 dBm .

Diagram 14b:


15:04:57 20.02.2010
The emission at 1996.2 MHz was -16.66 dBm measured with the channel power method with 1 MHz channel bandwidth. The result should be compared to the limit -13 dBm .

Diagram 15a:


15:21:23 20.02.201
Note: The limit in the diagram shall be -14.6 dBm instead of -13 dBm .
Diagram 15b:


[^4]Diagram 16a:


15:00:22 20.02.2010
Note: The limit in the diagram shall be -14.6 dBm instead of -13 dBm .

Diagram 16b:


The emission at 1996.2 MHz was -16.55 dBm measured with the channel power method with 1 MHz channel bandwidth. The result should be compared to the limit -13 dBm .

REPORT

Conducted spurious emission measurements according to CFR 47 § 24.238 / IC RSS-133 6.2

| Date |  | Temperature | Humidity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2018-02-28$ | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $12 \% \pm 5 \%$ |
|  | $2018-03-01$ | $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $12 \% \pm 5 \%$ |
|  | $2018-04-06$ | $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $9 \% \pm 5 \%$ |

## Test set-up and procedure

The measurements were made per definition in ANSI C63.26, 5.7.4. The output was connected to a spectrum analyzer with the RMS detector activated.

| Measurement equipment | RISE number |
| :--- | :--- |
| R\&S FSW 43 | 902073 |
| Coaxial cable Sucoflex 102EA | BX50191 |
| Coaxial cable Sucoflex 102EA | BX50236 |
| RF attenuator | 900691 |
| HP filter | BX40074 |
| Testo 635. temperature and humidity meter | 504203 |

Measurement uncertainty: 3.7 dB

## Results

Single carrier N-TM

| Diagram | Symbolic name | Tested Port |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 a-b | IoTB | RF A |
| $2 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}$ | IoTB | RF B |
| $3 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}$ | IoTB | RF C |
| $4 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}$ | IoTM | RF C |
| $5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}$ | IoTT | RF C |
| $6 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}$ | IoTB | RF D |

Multi carrier N-TM

| Diagram | Symbolic name | Tested Port |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $7 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ | IoT2B | RF C |
| $8 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ | IoT2Ts | RF C |

Multi RAT IoT: N-TM, LTE: E-TM1. 1

| Diagram | Symbolic name | Tested Port |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $9 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ | 1L2IoTb | RF C |
| $10 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ | I1L2IoTt | RF C |

Note: Measurements were mainly limited to port RF C due to the measurement result in single carrier mode that shows that the ports are electrical identical as declared by the client.

## Remark

The emission at 9 kHz on the plots was not generated by the test object. A complementary measurement with a smaller RBW showed that it was related to the LO feed-through.

The highest fundamental frequency is 1995 MHz . The measurements were made up to 20 GHz ( $10 \times 1995 \mathrm{MHz}=19950 \mathrm{MHz}$ ).

## Limits

CFR 47 §24.238 and RSS-133 6.5
Outside a licensee's frequency band(s) of operation the power of any emission shall be attenuated below the transmitter power $(\mathrm{P})$ by at least $43+10 \log (\mathrm{P}) \mathrm{dB}$. resulting in a limit of -13 dBm per 1 MHz RBW.

Complies?
Yes
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Diagram 1b:


Diagram 2a:
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Diagram 7a:


0:01:50 01.022019
Diagram 7b:


Diagram 7c:


Diagram 8a:


199:28:45 01.0.2019
Diagram 8b:


19:39:41 01.03.2010
Note: The emission at 1996.2 MHz was -16.66 dBm measured with the channel power method with 1 MHz channel bandwidth.

Diagram 8c:


Diagram 9a:


13:08:20 01.03.2018

Diagram 9b:


13:06:34 01.03.2018

Diagram 9c:


[^5]Diagram 10a:


11:31:05 01.03.2018
Diagram 10b:


11:27:00 01.03.2018

Diagram 10c:


11:35:23 01.03.2018

Field strength of spurious radiation measurements according to CFR 47 §2.1053 / IC RSS-133 6.5

| Date |  | Temperature | Humidity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2018-02-06$ | $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $20 \% \pm 5 \%$ |
|  | $2018-02-08$ | $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $29 \% \pm 5 \%$ |
|  | $2018-02-09$ | $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $23 \% \pm 5 \%$ |

The test site conform to the site validation criterion specified in ANSI C63.4 2014. The test site complies with RSS-Gen. Industry Canada file no. 3482A-1.

The measurements were performed with both horizontal and vertical polarization of the antenna. The antenna distance was 3 m in the frequency range $30 \mathrm{MHz}-18 \mathrm{GHz}$ and 1 m in the frequency range $18 \mathrm{GHz}-20 \mathrm{GHz}$.

RF absorbers were covering a floor area in the frequency range $1 \mathrm{GHz}-18 \mathrm{GHz}$ to comply with site validation requirements according to ANSI C63.4-2014.

The EUT was placed 0.8 m above reference ground plane in frequency range $30 \mathrm{MHz}-1$ GHz and 1.5 m above reference ground plane in frequency range $1 \mathrm{GHz}-20 \mathrm{GHz}$.

The measurement was performed with a RBW of 1 MHz .
A propagation loss in free space was calculated. The used formula was $\gamma=20 \log \left(\frac{4 \pi D}{\lambda}\right) \cdot \gamma$ is the propagation loss and $D$ is the antenna distance.
The measurement procedure was as the following:

1. A pre-measurement is performed with peak detector. For measurement $<1 \mathrm{GHz}$ the test object was measured in eight directions with the antenna at three heights. 1.0 m . 1.5 m and 2.0 m . For measurements $>1 \mathrm{GHz}$ the test object was measured in seventeen directions with the antenna height 1.0 m .1 .5 m and 2 m .
2. Spurious radiation on frequencies closer than 20 dB to the limit in the pre-measurement is scanned 0-360 degrees and the antenna is scanned $1-4 \mathrm{~m}$ for maximum response. The emission is then measured with the RMS detector and the RMS value is reported. Frequencies closer than 10 dB to the limit when measured with the RMS detector were measured with the substitution method according to ANSI 63.26.

The test set-up during the spurious radiation measurements is shown in the picture below: $30-1000 \mathrm{MHz}$


Measurement equipment

| Measurement equipment | RISE number |
| :--- | :--- |
| Semi anechoic chamber Tesla | 503881 |
| R\&S ESU 40 | 901385 |
| EMC 32 ver. 9.15.0 | BX62351 |
| Coaxial cable Rosenberg | 503508 |
| Coaxial cable Rosenberg | 503509 |
| Coaxial cable Huber+Suhner | BX62218 |
| ETS Lindgren BiConiLog 3142E | BX61914 |
| ETS Lindgren Horn Antenna 3115 | 502175 |
| Flann STD Gain Horn Antenna 20240-20 | 503674 |
| $\mu$ Comp Nordic. Low Noise Amplifier | 901545 |
| Miteq. Low Noise Amplifier | 503278 |
| HP Filter 3-26.5 GHz | 901502 |
| Temperature and humidity meter. Testo 625 | 504188 |

## Results

Tested configurations: IoTB. IoTM. IoTT. IoT2Bn. IoT2Mn. IoT2Tn. IoT2M. 1L2IoT BIM. 1L2IoT TIM and 1L2IoT B
representing worst case: Symbolic name IoT2Mn. NB IoT stand alone with test model N-TM. Diagram 1 a-d

| Frequency <br> $(\mathrm{MHz})$ | Vertical | Spurious emission level (dBm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All emission $>20 \mathrm{~dB}$ below limit | All emission $>20 \mathrm{~dB}$ below limit |

Measurement uncertainty: 3.1 dB

## Limits

CFR 47 §24.238 and IC RSS-133 6.5
(g) Outside a licensee's frequency band(s) of operation the power of any emission shall be attenuated below the transmitter power $(\mathrm{P})$ by at least $43+10 \log (\mathrm{P}) \mathrm{dB}$. resulting in a limit of -13 dBm.

| Complies? | Yes |
| :--- | :--- |

Diagram 1a:


Diagram 1b:


Note: The emission at 1962.5 MHz is the carrier frequency and shall be ignored in the context.

Diagram 1c:


Diagram 1d:


## Photos of test object

Front side


Rear side


Right side


\section*{| RII |
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Top side


| RII |
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