

TEST REPORT

Test Report No.: UL-RPT-RP13041774JD07A

Customer : Apple Inc.

Model No. : A2251

FCC ID : BCGA2251

Technology : Bluetooth – BDR & EDR

Test Standard(s) : FCC Parts 15.209(a) & 15.247

Test Laboratory : UL VS LTD, Basingstoke, Hampshire, RG24 8AH, United Kingdom

1. This test report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of UL VS LTD.

- 2. The results in this report apply only to the sample(s) tested.
- 3. The sample tested is in compliance with the above standard(s).
- 4. The test results in this report are traceable to the national or international standards.

5. Version 1.0

Date of Issue: 17 March 2020

Checked by: Solly Willems.

Sarah Williams

PAlleccia

Senior Test Engineer, Radio Laboratory

Company Signatory:

Ben Mercer Senior Test Engineer, Radio Laboratory

UL VS LTD



The *Bluetooth*® word mark and logos are owned by the *Bluetooth* SIG, Inc. and any use of such marks by UL VS LTD is under licence. Other trademarks and trade names are those of their respective owners.

Telephone: +44 (0)1256 312000 Facsimile: +44 (0)1256 312001

VERSION NO. 1.0

ISSUE DATE: 17 MARCH 2020

Customer Information

Company Name:	Apple Inc.
Address:	One Apple Park Way Cupertino, California 95014 U.S.A.
Contact Name:	Stuart Thomas

Report Revision History

Version Number	ISSUE Date Revision Details		Revised By
1.0	17/03/2020	Initial Version	Sarah Williams

Page 2 of 48 UL VS LTD

Table of Contents

Customer Information	2
Report Revision History	2
1. Attestation of Test Results	4
1.1. Description of EUT	4
1.2. General Information	4
1.3. Summary of Test Results	4
1.4. Deviations from the Test Specification	4
2. Summary of Testing	5
2.1. Facilities and Accreditation	5
2.2. Methods and Procedures	5
2.3. Calibration and Uncertainty	6
2.4. Test and Measurement Equipment	7
3. Equipment Under Test (EUT)	9
3.1. Identification of Equipment Under Test (EUT)	9
3.2. Modifications Incorporated in the EUT	9
3.3. Additional Information Related to Testing	10
3.4. Description of Available Antennas	10
3.5. Description of Test Setup	11
4. Antenna Port Test Results	15
4.1. Transmitter 20 dB Bandwidth	15
4.2. Transmitter Carrier Frequency Separation	19
4.3. Transmitter Number of Hopping Frequencies and Average Time of Occupancy	23
4.4. Transmitter Maximum Peak Output Power	25
5. Radiated Test Results	32
5.1. Transmitter Radiated Emissions <1 GHz	32
5.2. Transmitter Radiated Emissions >1 GHz	34
5.3. Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions	36

UL VS LTD Page 3 of 48

1. Attestation of Test Results

1.1. Description of EUT

The Equipment Under Test (EUT) was a Laptop Computer with *Bluetooth*, *Bluetooth* Low Energy and 802.11 a/b/g/n/ac capabilities in the 2.4 GHz and 5.0 GHz bands.

1.2. General Information

Specification Reference:	47CFR15.247	
Specification Title:	Code of Federal Regulations Volume 47 (Telecommunications): Part 15 Subpart C (Intentional Radiators) - Section 15.247	
Specification Reference:	cation Reference: 47CFR15.209	
Specification Title:	Code of Federal Regulations Volume 47 (Telecommunications): Part 15 Subpart C (Intentional Radiators) - Section 15.209	
Site Registration:	621311	
Location of Testing: UL VS LTD, Unit 3 Horizon, Wade Road, Kingsland Business Park, Basingstoke, Hampshire, RG24 8AH, United Kingdom		
Test Dates:	12 November 2019 to 08 December 2019	

1.3. Summary of Test Results

FCC Reference (47CFR)	Measurement	Result
Part 15.247(a)(1)	Transmitter 20 dB Bandwidth	Complied
Part 15.247(a)(1)	Transmitter Carrier Frequency Separation	Complied
Part 15.247(a)(1)(iii)	Transmitter Number of Hopping Frequencies and Average Time of Occupancy	Complied
Part 15.247(b)(1)	Transmitter Maximum Peak Output Power	Complied
Part 15.247(d) & 15.209(a)	Transmitter Radiated Emissions	Complied
Part 15.247(d) & 15.209(a)	Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions	Complied

Note(s):

1. There are two vendors of the WiFi/Bluetooth radio modules, Vendor 1 and Vendor 2.

The WiFi/Bluetooth radio modules have the same mechanical outline (i.e. the same packaging dimension and pin layout), use the same on-board antenna matching circuit, have an identical antenna structure and are built and tested to conform to the same specification and to operate within the same tolerances.

Baseline testing was performed on the two vendors to determine the worst case.

1.4. Deviations from the Test Specification

For the measurements contained within this test report, there were no deviations from, additions to, or exclusions from the test specification identified above.

Page 4 of 48 UL VS LTD

2. Summary of Testing

2.1. Facilities and Accreditation

The test site and measurement facilities used to collect data are located at Unit 3 Horizon, Wade Road, Kingsland Business Park, Basingstoke, Hampshire, RG24 8AH, United Kingdom. The following table identifies which facilities were utilised for radiated emission measurements documented in this report. Specific facilities are also identified in the test results sections.

Site 1	Х
Site 2	-
Site 17	X

UL VS LTD is accredited by UKAS. The tests reported herein have been performed in accordance with its terms of accreditation.

2.2. Methods and Procedures

Reference:	ANSI C63.10-2013	
Title:	American National Standard of Procedures for Compliance Testing of Unlicensed Wireless Devices	
Reference:	KDB 558074 D01 15.247 Meas Guidance v05r02, April 2, 2019	
Title:	Guidance for Compliance Measurements on Digital Transmission System, Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum System, and Hybrid System Devices Operating Under Section 15.247 of the FCC Rules	

UL VS LTD Page 5 of 48

2.3. Calibration and Uncertainty

Measuring Instrument Calibration

In accordance with UKAS requirements all the measurement equipment is on a calibration schedule. All equipment was within the calibration period on the date of testing.

Measurement Uncertainty

No measurement or test can ever be perfect and the imperfections give rise to error of measurement in the results. Consequently the result of a measurement is only an approximation to the value measured (the specific quantity subject to measurement) and is only complete when accompanied by a statement of the uncertainty of the approximation.

The expression of uncertainty of a measurement result allows realistic comparison of results with reference values and limits given in specifications and standards.

The uncertainty of the result may need to be taken into account when interpreting the measurement results.

The reported expanded uncertainties below are based on a standard uncertainty multiplied by an appropriate coverage factor such that a confidence level of approximately 95% is maintained. For the purposes of this document "approximately" is interpreted as meaning "effectively" or "for most practical purposes".

Measurement Type	Range	Confidence Level (%)	Calculated Uncertainty
20 dB Bandwidth	2.4 GHz to 2.4835 GHz	95%	±4.59 %
Carrier Frequency Separation	2.4 GHz to 2.4835 GHz	95%	±4.59 %
Average Time of Occupancy	2.4 GHz to 2.4835 GHz	95%	±3.53 ns
Conducted Maximum Peak Output Power	2.4 GHz to 2.4835 GHz	95%	±1.13 dB
Radiated Spurious Emissions	30 MHz to 1 GHz	95%	±3.30 dB
Radiated Spurious Emissions	1 GHz to 26.5 GHz	95%	±2.94 dB

The methods used to calculate the above uncertainties are in line with those recommended within the various measurement specifications. Where measurement specifications do not include guidelines for the evaluation of measurement uncertainty the published guidance of the appropriate accreditation body is followed.

Page 6 of 48 UL VS LTD

2.4. Test and Measurement Equipment

Test Equipment Used for Transmitter Conducted Tests

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
M2001	Thermohygrometer	Testo	608-H1	45041824	06 Jan 2020	12
M1996	Signal Analyser	Rohde & Schwarz	FSV13	100975	16 Jan 2020	12
G0615	Vector Signal Generator	Rohde & Schwarz	SMBV100A	260473	08 May 2020	36
A2525	Attenuator	AtlanTecRF	AN18W5-10	832827-3#	Calibrated before use	-

Test Equipment Used for Transmitter Radiated Emissions

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
M2040	Thermohygrometer	Testo	608-H1	45124934	06 Jan 2020	12
K0001	5m RSE Chamber	Rainford EMC	N/A	N/A	16 Oct 2020	12
M2044	Test Receiver	Rohde & Schwarz	ESU26	100122	01 Apr 2020	12
A3154	Pre-Amplifier	Com-Power	PAM-103	18020012	04 Oct 2020	12
A553	Antenna	Chase	CBL6111A	1593	14 Oct 2020	12
A3112	Attenuator	AtlanTecRF	AN18-06	219706#2	14 Oct 2020	12
A3083	Low Pass Filter	AtlanTecRF	AFL-01000	18010900076	09 Apr 2020	12
K0017	3m RSE Chamber	Rainford EMC	N/A	N/A	01 Aug 2020	12
M2003	Thermohygrometer	Testo	608-H1	45046641	06 Jan 2020	12
A2895	Antenna	Schwarzbeck	BBHA 9170	9170-728	08 Feb 2020	12
A2896	Pre-Amplifier	Schwarzbeck	BBV 9721	9721 - 023	08 Feb 2020	12
M1630	Test Receiver	Rohde & Schwarz	ESU40	100233	12 Nov 2020	12
A2916	Attenuator	AtlanTecRF	AN18W5-10	832827#1	20 Feb 2020	12
A2914	High Pass Filter	AtlanTecRF	AFH-03000	2155	20 Feb 2020	12
A2889	Antenna	Schwarzbeck	BBHA 9120B	BBHA 9120 B653	08 Aug 2020	12
A3139	Antenna	Schwarzbeck	HWRD750	00027	07 Oct 2020	12
A3141	Pre-Amplifier	Schwarzbeck	BBV 9718 B	00021	08 Oct 2020	12
A3095	High Pass Filter	AtlanTecRF	AFH-07000	18051600012	09 Apr 2020	12

UL VS LTD Page 7 of 48

Test and Measurement Equipment (continued)

Test Equipment Used for Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
M2040	Thermohygrometer	Testo	608-H1	45124934	06 Jan 2020	12
K0001	5m RSE Chamber	Rainford EMC	N/A	N/A	16 Oct 2020	12
M2044	Test Receiver	Rohde & Schwarz	ESU26	100122	01 Apr 2020	12
A2523	Attenuator	AtlanTecRF	AN18W5-10	832827#1	04 Mar 2020	12
A3179	Pre-Amplifier	Hewlett Packard	8449B	3008A00934	09 Oct 2020	12
A3138	Antenna	Schwarzbeck	BBHA 9120B	00702	04 Oct 2020	12

Page 8 of 48 UL VS LTD

3. Equipment Under Test (EUT)

3.1. Identification of Equipment Under Test (EUT)

Brand Name:	Apple	
Model Name or Number:	er: A2251	
Test Sample Serial Number:	C02ZG00HP22J (Conducted sample #1)	
Hardware Version:	REV 1.0	
Software Version:	19C19	
FCC ID:	BCGA2251	

Brand Name:	Apple
Model Name or Number:	A2251
Test Sample Serial Number:	C02ZH002P1YX (Radiated sample #1)
Hardware Version:	REV 1.0
Software Version:	19C19
FCC ID:	BCGA2251

Brand Name:	Apple
Model Name or Number:	A2251
Test Sample Serial Number:	C02ZG00UP22J (Radiated sample #2)
Hardware Version:	REV 1.0
Software Version:	19C19
FCC ID:	BCGA2251

Brand Name:	Apple
Model Name or Number:	A2251
Test Sample Serial Number:	C02ZG00KP22J (Radiated sample #3)
Hardware Version:	REV 1.0
Software Version:	19C19
FCC ID:	BCGA2251

3.2. Modifications Incorporated in the EUT

No modifications were applied to the EUT during testing.

UL VS LTD Page 9 of 48

ISSUE DATE: 17 MARCH 2020

3.3. Additional Information Related to Testing

Tested Technology:	Bluetooth		
Power Supply Requirement:	Nominal Constant 3.8 VDC via 120 VAC 60 Hz AC/DC supply		
Type of Unit:	Transceiver		
Channel Spacing:	1 MHz		
Mode:	Basic Rate Enhanced Data Rate		
Modulation:	GFSK	π/4-DQPSK	8DPSK
Packet Type: (Maximum Payload)	DH5	2DH5	3DH5
Data Rate (Mbps):	1	2	3
Maximum Conducted Output Power:	12.9 dBm		
Transmit Frequency Range:	2402 MHz to 2480 MHz		
Transmit Channels Tested:	Channel ID	Channel Number	Channel Frequency (MHz)
	Bottom	0	2402
	Middle	39	2441
	Тор	78	2480

3.4. Description of Available Antennas

The radio utilizes an integrated antenna, with the following maximum gain:

Frequency Range (MHz)	Antenna Gain (dBi)
2400-2480	1.1

Page 10 of 48 UL VS LTD

ISSUE DATE: 17 MARCH 2020

3.5. Description of Test Setup

Support Equipment

The following support equipment was used to exercise the EUT during testing:

Description:	Personal Hands Free (PHF)
Brand Name:	Apple
Model Name or Number:	Apple EarPods
Serial Number:	Not marked or stated

Description:	USB Hub
Brand Name:	Belkin
Model Name or Number:	F5U404-BLK
Serial Number:	Not marked or stated

Description:	USB-C to USB Adapter. Quantity 3
Brand Name:	Apple
Model Name or Number:	A1632
Serial Number:	Not marked or stated

Description:	USB Cable. Quantity 3.
Brand Name:	Not marked or stated
Model Name or Number:	Not marked or stated
Serial Number:	Not marked or stated

UL VS LTD Page 11 of 48

VERSION NO. 1.0 ISSUE DATE: 17 MARCH 2020

Operating Modes

The EUT was tested in the following operating mode(s):

- Continuously transmitting at maximum power on bottom, middle and top channels in BDR (DH5 packets) or EDR (2DH5 or 3DH5 packets) as required.
- Continuously transmitting at maximum power in hopping mode on all channels in BDR (DH5 packets) or EDR (2DH5 or 3DH5 packets) as required.

Configuration and Peripherals

The EUT was tested in the following configuration(s):


- Controlled in test mode using a set of commands entered into a terminal application on the EUT supplied by the customer. The commands were used to enable a continuous transmission and to select the test channels as required. The customer supplied a document containing the setup instructions 'EUT_BT_SOP.docx'.
- The EUT was powered from a 120 VAC 60 Hz single phase mains supply.
- Transmitter radiated spurious emissions tests were performed with the EUT transmitting in DH5 mode as this mode was found to transmit the highest power.
- Transmitter radiated spurious emissions tests were performed with the AC Charger, USB cables and PHF connected to the EUT. The USB-C ports were connected via a USB C-A adaptor and USB cable to a hub. The hub was placed outside the chamber.

Page 12 of 48 UL VS LTD

Test Setup Diagrams


Conducted Tests:

Test Setup for Transmitter 20 dB Bandwidth, Carrier Frequency Separation, Number of Hopping Frequencies and Average Time of Occupancy & Maximum Peak Output Power



Radiated Tests:


Test Setup for Transmitter Radiated Emissions



UL VS LTD Page 13 of 48

Test Setup Diagrams (continued)

Test Setup for Transmitter Radiated Emissions (continued)



Page 14 of 48 UL VS LTD

ISSUE DATE: 17 MARCH 2020

VERSION NO. 1.0

4. Antenna Port Test Results

4.1. Transmitter 20 dB Bandwidth

Test Summary:

Test Engineer:	Matthew Botfield	Test Dates:	29 November 2019 & 06 December 2019
Test Sample Serial Number:	C02ZG00HP22J		

FCC Reference:	Part 15.247(a)(1)
Test Method Used:	ANSI C63.10 Section 6.9.2

Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	24
Relative Humidity (%):	40 to 41

Note(s):


- 1. The signal analyser resolution bandwidth was set to 30 kHz and video bandwidth 100 kHz. A peak detector was used, sweep time was set to auto and the trace mode was Max Hold. The span was set to 4 MHz. Normal and delta markers were placed 20 dB down from the peak of the carrier.
- 2. The signal analyser was connected to the RF port on the EUT using suitable attenuation and RF cable.


UL VS LTD Page 15 of 48

Transmitter 20 dB Bandwidth (continued)

Results DH5:


Channel	20 dB Bandwidth (kHz)
Bottom	972.500
Middle	960.900
Тор	972.500





Bottom Channel

Middle Channel




Top Channel


Page 16 of 48 UL VS LTD

Transmitter 20 dB Bandwidth (continued)

Results 2DH5:


Channel	20 dB Bandwidth (kHz)
Bottom	1383.500
Middle	1383.500
Тор	1389.300





Bottom Channel

Middle Channel




Top Channel


UL VS LTD Page 17 of 48

Transmitter 20 dB Bandwidth (continued)

Results 3DH5:


Channel	20 dB Bandwidth (kHz)
Bottom	1371.900
Middle	1377.700
Тор	1371.900





Bottom Channel

Middle Channel



Top Channel

Page 18 of 48 UL VS LTD

VERSION NO. 1.0 ISSUE DATE: 17 MARCH 2020

4.2. Transmitter Carrier Frequency Separation

Test Summary:

Test Engineer:	Matthew Botfield	Test Date:	02 December 2019
Test Sample Serial Number:	C02ZG00HP22J		

FCC Reference:	Part 15.247(a)(1)
Test Method Used:	ANSI C63.10 Section 7.8.2

Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	20
Relative Humidity (%):	43

Note(s):


- 1. The 20 dB bandwidth measured for the middle channel operating at 2441 MHz was used to calculate the limit.
- 2. The signal analyser resolution bandwidth was set to 30 kHz and video bandwidth 100 kHz. A peak detector was used, sweep time was set to auto and trace mode was Max Hold. The span was set to 3 MHz. A marker was placed at the centre of one signal and then a delta marker was placed in the same place on the second signal.
- 3. The signal analyser was connected to the RF port on the EUT using suitable attenuation and RF cable.

UL VS LTD Page 19 of 48

Transmitter Carrier Frequency Separation (continued)

Results: DH5

Carrier Frequency	Limit (² / ₃ of 20 dB BW)	Margin	Result
Separation (kHz)	(kHz)	(kHz)	
1002.900	640.600	362.300	Complied



Page 20 of 48 UL VS LTD

Transmitter Carrier Frequency Separation (continued)

Results: 2DH5

Carrier Frequency	Limit (² / ₃ of 20 dB BW)	Margin	Result
Separation (kHz)	(kHz)	(kHz)	
998.600	922.333	76.267	Complied




UL VS LTD Page 21 of 48

VERSION NO. 1.0 ISSUE DATE: 17 MARCH 2020

Transmitter Carrier Frequency Separation (continued)

Results: 3DH5

Carrier Frequency	Limit (² / ₃ of 20 dB BW)	Margin	Result
Separation (kHz)	(kHz)	(kHz)	
1002.900	918.467	84.433	Complied



Page 22 of 48 UL VS LTD

ISSUE DATE: 17 MARCH 2020

4.3. Transmitter Number of Hopping Frequencies and Average Time of Occupancy **Test Summary:**

Test Engineer:	Matthew Botfield	Test Date:	02 December 2019
Test Sample Serial Number:	C02ZG00HP22J		

FCC Reference:	Part 15.247(a)(1)(iii)
Test Method Used:	ANSI C63.10 Sections 7.8.3 & 7.8.4

Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	20
Relative Humidity (%):	43


Note(s):


- 1. Tests were performed to identify the average time of occupancy in number of channels (79) x 0.4 seconds. The calculated period is 31.6 seconds.
- 2. The signal analyser was set up for the Number of Hopping Frequencies measurement as follows: the resolution bandwidth was set to 30 kHz and video bandwidth of 100 kHz. A peak detector was used. sweep time was set to auto and trace mode was Max Hold. The span was set to 83.5 MHz.
- 3. The signal analyser was set up for the Emission Width measurement as follows: the resolution bandwidth was set to 30 kHz and video bandwidth of 100 kHz. A peak detector was used and sweep time was set to auto with a span of zero Hz. The signal analyser was set to trigger at 0.5 ms, with a marker placed at the start of the emission and a delta marked place at the end of the emission. The emission width is recorded in the table below.
- 4. The signal analyser was set up for the Number of Hopping Frequencies in 32 seconds measurement as follows: the resolution bandwidth was set to 30 kHz and video bandwidth of 100 kHz. A peak detector was used and sweep time was set to 32 seconds. The EUT was set to transmit in a hopping frequency mode with zero span. The total number of hopping frequencies were recorded in the table below.
- 5. The signal analyser was connected to the RF port on the EUT using suitable attenuation and RF cable.

UL VS LTD Page 23 of 48

<u>Transmitter Number of Hopping Frequencies and Average Time of Occupancy (continued)</u> <u>Results:</u>


Emission Width (μs)	Number of Hops in 31.6 Seconds	Average Time of Occupancy (s)	Limit (s)	Margin (s)	Result
2927.5	104	0.304	0.4	0.096	Complied





Number of Hopping Frequencies

Emission Width



Number of Hopping Frequencies in 32 s

Page 24 of 48 UL VS LTD

VERSION NO. 1.0 ISSUE DATE: 17 MARCH 2020

4.4. Transmitter Maximum Peak Output Power

Test Summary:

Test Engineer:	Matthew Botfield	Test Dates:	02 December 2019 & 06 December 2019
Test Sample Serial Number:	C02ZG00HP22J		

FCC Reference:	Part 15.247(b)(1)
Test Method Used:	ANSI C63.10 Section 7.8.5

Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	20 to 24
Relative Humidity (%):	41 to 43

Note(s):

- 1. The signal analyser resolution bandwidth was set to 2 MHz (>20 dB bandwidth) and video bandwidth of 10 MHz. A peak detector was used, sweep time was set to auto and trace mode was Max Hold. The span was set to 5 MHz (approximately five times the 20 dB bandwidth). A marker was placed at the peak of the signal and the results recorded in the tables below.
- 2. The declared antenna gain was added to the conducted peak power to obtain the EIRP.
- 3. The signal analyser was connected to the RF port on the EUT using suitable attenuation and RF cable. An RF offset level was entered on the signal analyser to compensate for the loss of the attenuator and RF cable.

UL VS LTD Page 25 of 48


Results: DH5


Channel	Conducted Peak Power (dBm)	Conducted Peak Power Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
Bottom	12.9	30.0	17.1	Complied
Middle	12.7	30.0	17.3	Complied
Тор	12.9	30.0	17.1	Complied

Channel	Conducted Peak Power (dBm)	Declared Antenna Gain (dBi)	EIRP (dBm)	De Facto EIRP Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
Bottom	12.9	1.1	14.0	36.0	22.0	Complied
Middle	12.7	1.1	13.8	36.0	22.2	Complied
Тор	12.9	1.1	14.0	36.0	22.0	Complied


Page 26 of 48 UL VS LTD

Results: DH5





Bottom Channel



Top Channel

Middle Channel

UL VS LTD Page 27 of 48


Results: 2DH5


Channel	Conducted Peak Power (dBm)	Conducted Peak Power Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result	
Bottom	7.8	21.0	13.2	Complied	
Middle	8.0	21.0	13.0	Complied	
Тор	7.8	21.0	13.2	Complied	

Channel	Conducted Peak Power (dBm)	Declared Antenna Gain (dBi)	EIRP (dBm)	De Facto EIRP Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
Bottom	7.8	1.1	8.9	27.0	18.1	Complied
Middle	8.0	1.1	9.1	27.0	17.9	Complied
Тор	7.8	1.1	8.9	27.0	18.1	Complied


Page 28 of 48 UL VS LTD

Results: 2DH5





Bottom Channel



Top Channel

Middle Channel

UL VS LTD Page 29 of 48


Results: 3DH5


Channel	Conducted Peak Power (dBm)	Conducted Peak Power Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
Bottom	7.7	21.0	13.3	Complied
Middle	7.8	21.0	13.2	Complied
Тор	7.7	21.0	13.3	Complied

Channel	Conducted Peak Power (dBm)	Declared Antenna Gain (dBi)	EIRP (dBm)	De Facto EIRP Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
Bottom	7.7	1.1	8.8	27.0	18.2	Complied
Middle	7.8	1.1	8.9	27.0	18.1	Complied
Тор	7.7	1.1	8.8	27.0	18.2	Complied


Page 30 of 48 UL VS LTD

Results: 3DH5





Bottom Channel



Top Channel

Middle Channel

UL VS LTD Page 31 of 48

5. Radiated Test Results

5.1. Transmitter Radiated Emissions <1 GHz

Test Summary:

Test Engineer:	Marco Zunarelli	Test Date:	06 December 2019
Test Sample Serial Number:	C02ZH002P1YX		

FCC Reference: Parts 15.247(d) & 15.209(a)	
Test Method Used: ANSI C63.10 Sections 6.3 and 6.5	
Frequency Range	30 MHz to 1000 MHz

Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	22
Relative Humidity (%):	34

Note(s):


- 1. Transmitter radiated spurious emissions tests were performed with the EUT transmitting in DH5 mode as this was found to transmit the highest power and therefore deemed worst case.
- 2. The final measured value, for the given emission, in the table below incorporates the calibrated antenna factor and cable loss.
- 3. No spurious emissions were detected above the noise floor of the measuring receiver therefore the highest peak noise floor reading of the measuring receiver was recorded as shown in the table below.
- 4. The preliminary scans showed similar emission levels below 1 GHz, for each channel of operation. Therefore final radiated emissions measurements were performed with the EUT set to the middle channel only.
- 5. Measurements below 1 GHz were performed in a semi-anechoic chamber (Asset Number K0001) at a distance of 3 metres. The EUT was placed at a height of 80 cm above the reference ground plane in the centre of the chamber turntable. Maximum emission levels were determined by height searching the measurement antenna over the range 1 metre to 4 metres.
- 6. Pre-scans were performed and markers placed on the highest measured levels. The test receiver resolution bandwidth was set to 120 kHz and video bandwidth 500 kHz. A peak detector was used, sweep time was set to auto and trace mode was Max Hold.

Page 32 of 48 UL VS LTD

Transmitter Radiated Emissions (continued)

Results: Peak / DH5

Frequency	Antenna	Level	Limit	Margin	Result
(MHz)	Polarity	(dBμV/m)	(dBμV/m)	(dB)	
945.354	Vertical	33.1	54.0	20.9	Complied



UL VS LTD Page 33 of 48

5.2. Transmitter Radiated Emissions >1 GHz

Test Summary:

Test Engineers:	James O'Reilly, John Ferdinand & Marco Zunarelli	Test Dates:	05 December 2019 to 08 December 2019
Test Sample Serial Numbers:	C02ZG00UP22J & C02ZG00KP22J		

FCC Reference:	Parts 15.247(d) & 15.209(a)
Test Method Used:	ANSI C63.10 Sections 6.3 and 6.6
Frequency Range	1 GHz to 25 GHz

Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	21 to 24
Relative Humidity (%):	29 to 39

Note(s):

- 1. Transmitter radiated spurious emissions tests were performed with the EUT transmitting in DH5 mode as this was found to transmit the highest power and therefore deemed worst case.
- 2. The final measured value, for the given emission, in the table below incorporates the calibrated antenna factor and cable loss.
- 3. No spurious emissions were detected above the noise floor of the measuring receiver therefore the highest peak and average noise floor readings of the measuring receiver were recorded as shown in the tables below.
- 4. The emission shown on the 1 GHz to 3 GHz plot is the EUT fundamental at 2441 MHz.
- 5. Pre-scans above 1 GHz were performed in a fully anechoic chamber (Asset Number K0017) at a distance of 3 metres. The EUT was placed at a height of 1.5 metres above the test chamber floor in the centre of the chamber turntable. All measurement antennas were placed at a fixed height of 1.5 metres above the test chamber floor, in line with the EUT.
- 6. Pre-scans were performed and a marker placed on the highest measured level of the appropriate plot. The test receiver resolution bandwidth was set to 1 MHz and video bandwidth 3 MHz. The sweep time was set to auto. Peak and average measurements were performed with their own appropriate detectors during the pre-scan measurements.

Results: Peak / Middle Channel / DH5


Frequency	Antenna	Level	Limit	Margin	Result
(MHz)	Polarity	(dBμV/m)	(dBμV/m)	(dB)	
2790.000	Vertical	54.1	74.0	19.9	Complied


Results: Average / Middle Channel / DH5


Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Level (dBμV/m)	Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2863.500	Vertical	47.0	54.0	7.0	Complied


Page 34 of 48 UL VS LTD

Transmitter Radiated Emissions (continued)









UL VS LTD Page 35 of 48

5.3. Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions

Test Summary:

Test Engineers:	Marco Zunarelli, John Ferdinand & Mark Perry	Test Dates:	12 November 2019 to 17 November 2019
Test Sample Serial Numbers:	C02ZG00KP22J & C02ZH002P1YX		

FCC Reference:	Parts 15.247(d) & 15.209(a)
Test Method Used:	ANSI C63.10 Section 6.10

Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	21 to 23
Relative Humidity (%):	34 to 36

Note(s):

- 1. The final measured value, for the given emission, in the table below incorporates the calibrated antenna factor and cable loss.
- 2. The lower band edge is adjacent to a non-restricted band. The test receiver resolution bandwidth was set to 100 kHz and video bandwidth 300 kHz. A peak detector was used, sweep time was set to auto and trace mode was Max Hold. The test receiver was left to sweep for a sufficient length of time in order to maximise the carrier level and out-of-band emissions. A marker and corresponding reference level line were placed on the peak of the carrier. A marker was placed on the band edge spot frequencies and a second marker placed on the highest emission level in the adjacent band (where a higher level emission was present). Marker frequencies and levels were recorded.
- 3. The upper band edge is adjacent to a restricted band. The test receiver resolution bandwidth was set to 1 MHz and video bandwidth 3 MHz. Peak and average measurements were performed with their respective detectors, sweep time was set to auto and trace mode was Max Hold. The test receiver was left to sweep for a sufficient length of time in order to maximise the carrier level and out-of-band emissions. A marker was placed on the band edge spot frequencies and a second marker placed on the highest emission level in the adjacent band (where a higher level emission was present). Marker frequencies and levels were recorded.
- 4. There is a restricted band 10 MHz below the lower band edge. The test receiver was set up as follows: the RBW set to 1 MHz, the VBW set to 3 MHz, with the sweep time set to auto couple. Peak and average measurements were performed with their respective detectors. Markers were placed on the highest point on each trace.
- 5. * -20 dBc limit.

Page 36 of 48 UL VS LTD

Results: Static Mode / DH5

Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Peak Level (dBµV/m)	Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2399.920	Vertical	47.4	89.5*	42.1	Complied
2400.0	Vertical	46.6	89.5*	42.9	Complied
2483.5	Vertical	54.3	74.0	19.7	Complied

Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Average Level (dBμV/m)	Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2483.5	Vertical	45.1	54.0	8.9	Complied
2483.821	Vertical	46.3	54.0	7.7	Complied

Results: 2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band / Peak


Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Level (dBµV/m)	Limit (dBµV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2365.000	Vertical	53.0	74.0	21.0	Complied


Results: 2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band / Average

Frequency	Antenna	Level	Limit	Margin	Result
(MHz)	Polarity	(dBµV/m)	(dBµV/m)	(dB)	
2364.744	Vertical	45.4	54.0	8.6	Complied


UL VS LTD Page 37 of 48

Results: Static Mode / DH5





Lower Band Edge



2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band

Upper Band Edge

Page 38 of 48 UL VS LTD

Results: Hopping Mode / DH5

Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Peak Level (dBµV/m)	Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2399.679	Vertical	45.6	89.9*	44.3	Complied
2400.0	Vertical	44.7	89.9*	45.2	Complied
2483.5	Vertical	52.8	74.0	21.2	Complied
2484.061	Vertical	54.0	74.0	20.0	Complied

Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Average Level (dBμV/m)	Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2483.5	Vertical	44.8	54.0	9.2	Complied
2505.375	Vertical	45.5	54.0	8.5	Complied

Results: 2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band / Peak


Frequency	Antenna	Level	Limit	Margin	Result
(MHz)	Polarity	(dBµV/m)	(dBµV/m)	(dB)	
2323.974	Vertical	54.6	74.0	19.4	Complied


Results: 2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band / Average

Frequency	Antenna	Level	Limit	Margin	Result
(MHz)	Polarity	(dBµV/m)	(dBµV/m)	(dB)	
2381.795	Vertical	45.2	54.0	8.8	Complied


UL VS LTD Page 39 of 48

Results: Hopping Mode / DH5





Lower Band Edge



2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band

Page 40 of 48 UL VS LTD

ISSUE DATE: 17 MARCH 2020

Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions (continued)

Results: Static Mode / 2DH5

Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Peak Level (dBµV/m)	Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2400.0	Vertical	48.0	83.4*	35.4	Complied
2483.5	Vertical	51.9	74.0	22.1	Complied
2483.901	Vertical	52.8	74.0	21.2	Complied

Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Average Level (dBμV/m)	Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2483.5	Vertical	43.9	54.0	10.1	Complied

Results: 2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band / Peak


Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Level (dBµV/m)	Limit (dBµV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2336.154	Vertical	54.3	74.0	19.7	Complied


Results: 2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band / Average

Frequency	Antenna	Level	Limit	Margin	Result
(MHz)	Polarity	(dBµV/m)	(dBµV/m)	(dB)	
2335.000	Vertical	44.3	54.0	9.7	Complied


UL VS LTD Page 41 of 48

Results: Static Mode / 2DH5





Lower Band Edge



2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band

Upper Band Edge

Page 42 of 48 UL VS LTD

ISSUE DATE: 17 MARCH 2020

Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions (continued)

Results: Hopping Mode / 2DH5

Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Peak Level (dBµV/m)	Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2400.0	Vertical	49.0	85.1*	36.1	Complied
2483.5	Vertical	51.8	74.0	22.2	Complied
2483.580	Vertical	53.5	74.0	20.5	Complied

Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Average Level (dBμV/m)	Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2483.5	Vertical	44.6	54.0	9.4	Complied

Results: 2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band / Peak


Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Level (dBµV/m)	Limit (dBµV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2338.462	Vertical	53.9	74.0	20.1	Complied


Results: 2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band / Average

Frequency	Antenna	Level	Limit	Margin	Result
(MHz)	Polarity	(dBµV/m)	(dBµV/m)	(dB)	
2329.615	Vertical	44.1	54.0	9.9	Complied


UL VS LTD Page 43 of 48

Results: Hopping Mode / 2DH5





Lower Band Edge



2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band

Upper Band Edge

Page 44 of 48 UL VS LTD

VERSION NO. 1.0 ISSUE DATE: 17 MARCH 2020

Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions (continued)

Results: Static Mode / 3DH5

Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Peak Level (dBµV/m)	Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2400.0	Vertical	47.3	83.4*	36.1	Complied
2483.5	Vertical	52.4	74.0	21.6	Complied
2483.580	Vertical	53.9	74.0	20.1	Complied

Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Average Level (dBμV/m)	Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2483.5	Vertical	45.0	54.0	9.0	Complied
2488.388	Vertical	45.2	54.0	8.8	Complied

Results: 2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band / Peak


Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Level (dBµV/m)	Limit (dBµV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2354.359	Vertical	53.7	74.0	20.3	Complied


Results: 2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band / Average

Frequency	Antenna	Level	Limit	Margin	Result
(MHz)	Polarity	(dBµV/m)	(dBµV/m)	(dB)	
2366.026	Vertical	47.9	54.0	6.1	Complied


UL VS LTD Page 45 of 48

Results: Static Mode / 3DH5





Lower Band Edge



2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band

Upper Band Edge

Page 46 of 48 UL VS LTD

Results: Hopping Mode / 3DH5

Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Peak Level (dBµV/m)	Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2400.0	Vertical	43.6	84.9*	41.3	Complied
2483.5	Vertical	51.6	74.0	22.4	Complied
2497.603	Vertical	54.1	74.0	19.9	Complied

Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Average Level (dBμV/m)	Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2483.5	Vertical	44.0	54.0	10.0	Complied
2491.272	Vertical	45.4	54.0	8.6	Complied

Results: 2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band / Peak


Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Level (dBµV/m)	Limit (dBµV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2383.590	Vertical	53.3	74.0	20.7	Complied


Results: 2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band / Average

Frequency	Antenna	Level	Limit	Margin	Result
(MHz)	Polarity	(dBµV/m)	(dBµV/m)	(dB)	
2386.282	Vertical	47.6	54.0	6.4	Complied


UL VS LTD Page 47 of 48

Results: Hopping Mode / 3DH5





Lower Band Edge



Upper Band Edge

2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band

--- END OF REPORT ---

Page 48 of 48 UL VS LTD