

Intel[®] Pentium[®] M Processor Specification Update

December 2009

Notice: The Intel[®] Pentium[®] M processor may contain design defects or errors known as errata which may cause the product to deviate from published specifications. Current characterized errata are documented in this Specification Update.

Document Number: 252665-035



INFORMATION IN THIS DOCUMENT IS PROVIDED IN CONNECTION WITH INTEL® PRODUCTS. NO LICENSE, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, BY ESTOPPEL OR OTHERWISE, TO ANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS IS GRANTED BY THIS DOCUMENT. EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN INTEL'S TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF SALE FOR SUCH PRODUCTS, INTEL ASSUMES NO LIABILITY WHATSOEVER, AND INTEL DISCLAIMS ANY EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTY, RELATING TO SALE AND/OR USE OF INTEL PRODUCTS INCLUDING LIABILITY OR WARRANTIES RELATING TO FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, MERCHANTABILITY, OR INFRINGEMENT OF ANY PATENT, COPYRIGHT OR OTHER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHT. Intel products are not intended for use in medical, life saving, or life sustaining applications.

Intel may make changes to specifications and product descriptions at any time, without notice.

Designers must not rely on the absence or characteristics of any features or instructions marked "reserved" or "undefined." Intel reserves these for future definition and shall have no responsibility whatsoever for conflicts or incompatibilities arising from future changes to them.

The Intel® Pentium® M processor may contain design defects or errors known as errata which may cause the product to deviate from published specifications. Current characterized errata are available on request.

⁺Hyper-Threading Technology requires a computer system with a Mobile Intel Pentium 4 Processor, a chipset and BIOS that utilize this technology, and an operating system that includes optimizations for this technology. Performance will vary depending on the specific hardware and software you use. See http://www.intel.com/info/hyperthreading for information.

Contact your local Intel sales office or your distributor to obtain the latest specifications and before placing your product order.

Intel, Pentium, Celeron, Intel Xeon, Intel SpeedStep, MMX and the Intel logo are trademarks or registered trademarks of Intel Corporation or its subsidiaries in the United States and other countries.

*Other names and brands may be claimed as the property of others.

Copyright © 2003 – 2008, Intel Corporation. All rights reserved.



Contents

Preface	6
Summary Tables of Changes	
Identification Information	
Errata	
Specification Changes	
Specification Clarifications	
Documentation Changes	



Revision History

Revision Number	Description	Date
001	Initial Release	March 2003
002	Added Erratum Y15	April 2003
	Clarified Status description for all Erratum	
003	Updated Pentium M processor Identification Table	June 2003
004	Added Erratum Y16, Y17	July 2003
005	Added Erratum Y18	September 2003
006	Added Erratum Y19 - Y21	November 2003
007	Updated Erratum Y20	December 2003
	Added Erratum Y22	
008	Added Erratum Y23 and Y24	April 2004
	Added Specification Clarification Y1	
	Updated Processor Identification table	
009	Added Erratum Y25 and Y26	May 2004
010	Added Erratum Y27, Y28, Y29 and Y30	October 2004
011	Added Erratum Y31, Y32 and Y33	November 2004
012	Added Erratum Y34 and Y35	December 2004
013	Updated Summary Tables of Changes	February 2005
	Added Erratum Y36	
014	Added Erratum Y37	May 2005
	Added Specification Clarification Y1	
015	Updated Summary Tables of Changes	June 2005
	• Removed Erratum Y28 – Y30 (which were duplicates of Y4 – Y6).	
	• Added Erratum Y38 – Y40	
016	Updated Affected and Related Documents Tables	September 2005
017	Added Erratum Y41	November 2005
018	Added Erratum Y42 and Y43	December 2005
019	• Added Erratum Y44 – Y48	January 2006
	• Removed Specification Clarification Y1; refer to Section 18.8 of the <i>IA-32</i> <i>Intel[®] Architecture Software Developer's Manual, Volume 3B</i> for detailed Time Stamp Counter information.	



020	Added Erratum Y49	February 2006
021	 Update processors code section Updated Erratum Y7 Updated Erratum Y34 	March 2006
022	Updated processor name for AF in processor codeAdded Erratum Y50	April 2006
023	Updated Errata Y27	
	Updated Errata Y43	May 2006
	 Added new Errata Y51 Added SDM Changes link in 'Documentation Changes' section 	
024	Updated Errata Y12	
	• Updated Errata Y38 – Workaround updated.	July 2006
	Added new Errata Y52	501y 2000
	Added new Errata Y53	
025	Updated Errata Y19	August 2006
	• Added new Errata Y54, Y55, Y56	August 2006
026	Updated names of Software Developer's Manuals	
	• Updated Summary Table of Changes (Product prefix table)	October 2006
	Add new Errata Y57	
027	Updated Summary Table of Changes (Product prefix table)	November 2006
	Add new Errata Y58	November 2000
028	Updated Summary Table of Changes (Product prefix table)	
	Added new Errata Y59	December 2006
	Added new Errata Y60	December 2000
	Added new Errata Y61	
029	Updated Summary Table of Changes (Product prefix table)	January 2007
	Added new Errata Y62	Salidary 2007
030	Added new Errata Y63	March 2007
031	Updated Summary Table of Changes (Product prefix table)	August 2007
	Added new Errata Y64	August 2007
032	Updated Errata Y39	September 2007
033	Updated Summary Table of Changes (Product prefix table)	January 2009
	Updated Errata Y12	January 2008
034	Updated Summary Table of Changes (Product prefix table)	August 2009
	Updated Errata Y65	August 2008
035	Updated Pentium M processor Identification Table	December 2009

Preface



Preface

This document is an update to the specifications contained in the documents listed in the following Affected Documents/Related Documents table. It is a compilation of device and document errata and specification clarifications and changes, and is intended for hardware system manufacturers and for software developers of applications, operating system, and tools.

Information types defined in the Nomenclature section of this document are consolidated into this update document and are no longer published in other documents. This document may also contain information that has not been previously published.

Affected Documents

Document Title	Document Number
Intel [®] Pentium [®] M Processor Datasheet	<u>252612-003</u>

Related Documents

Document Title	Document Number
Intel [®] 64 and IA-32 Architectures Software Developer's Manual, Volume 1: Basic Architecture	<u>253665</u>
Intel [®] 64 and IA-32 Architectures Software Developer's Manual, Volume 2A: Instruction Set Reference, A-M	<u>253666</u>
Intel [®] 64 and IA-32 Architectures Software Developer's Manual, Volume 2B: Instruction Set Reference, N-Z	<u>253667</u>
Intel [®] 64 and IA-32 Architectures Software Developer's Manual, Volume 3A: System Programming Guide	<u>253668</u>
Intel [®] 64 and IA-32 Architectures Software Developer's Manual, Volume 3B: System Programming Guide	<u>253669</u>
Intel® 64 and IA-32 Intel® Architecture Optimization Reference Manual	<u>248966</u>

Nomenclature

Errata are design defects or errors. Errata may cause the Intel[®] Pentium[®] M processor's behavior to deviate from published specifications. Hardware and software

Preface



designed to be used with any given stepping must assume that all errata documented for that stepping are present on all devices.

Specification Changes are modifications to the current published specifications. These changes will be incorporated in the next release of the specifications.

Specification Clarifications describe a specification in greater detail or further highlight a specification's impact to a complex design situation. These clarifications will be incorporated in the next release of the specifications.

Documentation Changes include typos, errors, or omissions from the current published specifications. These changes will be incorporated in the next release of the specifications.

Note: Errata remain in the specification update throughout the product's lifecycle, or until a particular stepping is no longer commercially available. Under these circumstances, errata removed from the specification update are archived and available upon request. Specification changes, specification clarifications and documentation changes are removed from the specification update when the appropriate changes are made to the appropriate product specification or user documentation (datasheets, manuals, etc.).

Summary Tables of Changes



Summary Tables of Changes

The following table indicates the Specification Changes, Errata, Specification Clarifications or Documentation Changes, which apply to the listed MCH steppings. Intel intends to fix some of the errata in a future stepping of the component, and to account for the other outstanding issues through documentation or Specification Changes as noted. This table uses the following notations:

Codes Used in Summary Table

Stepping

X:	Erratum, Specification Change or Clarification that applies to this stepping.
(No mark) or (Blank Box):	This erratum is fixed in listed stepping or specification change does not apply to listed stepping.

Status

Doc:	Document change or update that will be implemented.
PlanFix:	This erratum may be fixed in a future stepping of the product.
Fixed:	This erratum has been previously fixed.
NoFix:	There are no plans to fix this erratum.

Row

Shaded:	This item is either new or modified from the previous
	version of the document.

Each Specification Update item is prefixed with a capital letter to distinguish the product. The key below details the letters that are used in Intel's microprocessor Specification Updates:

- A = Dual-Core Intel[®] Xeon[®] processor 7000 sequence
- C = Intel[®] Celeron[®] processor
- D = Dual-Core Intel[®] Xeon[®] processor 2.80 GHz
- E = Intel[®] Pentium[®] III processor



- F = Intel[®] Pentium[®] processor Extreme Edition and Intel[®] Pentium[®] processor
- I = Dual-Core Intel[®] Xeon[®] processor 5000 series
- J = 64-bit Intel[®] Xeon[®] processor MP with 1MB L2 cache
- K = Mobile Intel[®] Pentium[®] III processor
- L = Intel[®] Celeron[®] D processor
- M = Mobile Intel[®] Celeron[®] processor
- N = Intel[®] Pentium[®] 4 processor
- O = Intel[®] Xeon[®] processor MP
- P = Intel[®] Xeon[®] processor
- Q = Mobile Intel[®] Pentium[®] 4 processor supporting Hyper-Threading technology
- R = Intel[®] Pentium[®] 4 processor on 90 nm process
- 64-bit Intel[®] Xeon[®] processor with 800 MHz system bus (1 MB and 2 MB L2 cache versions) S = Cache versions
- T = Mobile Intel[®] Pentium[®] 4 processor-M
- U = 64-bit Intel[®] Xeon[®] processor MP with up to 8MB L3 cache
- Mobile Intel[®] Celeron[®] processor on .13 micron process in Micro-FCPGA
- V = package
- W= Intel[®] Celeron[®] M processor
- Intel[®] Pentium[®] M processor on 90nm process with 2-MB L2 cache and Intel[®]
- X = processor A100 and A110 with 512-KB L2 cache
- $Y = Intel^{(R)} Pentium^{(R)} M processor$
- Z = Mobile Intel[®] Pentium[®] 4 processor with 533 MHz system bus
- Intel[®] Pentium[®] D processor 900 sequence and Intel[®] Pentium[®] processor AA = Extreme Edition 955, 965
- $AB = Intel^{\ensuremath{\mathbb{R}}}$ Pentium^{\ensuremath{\mathbb{R}}} 4 processor 6x1 sequence}
- $AC = Intel^{\ensuremath{\mathbb{R}}}$ Celeron^{\ensuremath{\mathbb{R}}}} processor in 478 pin package
- $AD = Intel^{\mbox{\tiny B}} Celeron^{\mbox{\tiny B}} D$ processor on 65nm process
- Intel[®] Core[™] Duo processor and Intel[®] Core[™] Solo processor on 65nm AE = process
- AF = Dual-Core Intel[®] Xeon[®] processor LV
- $AG = Dual-Core Intel^{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mathbb{R}}}} Xeon^{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mathbb{R}}}} processor 5100 series$
- AH = Intel[®] Core[™]2 Duo/Solo processor for Intel[®] Centrino[®] Duo processor technology Intel[®] Core[™]2 Extreme processor X6800 and Intel[®] Core[™]2 Duo desktop
- AI = processor E6000 and E4000 sequence
- AJ = Quad-Core Intel[®] Xeon[®] processor 5300 series
- Intel[®] Core^m2 Extreme quad-core processor QX6000 sequence and Intel[®] AK = Core^m2 Quad processor Q6000 sequence
- $AL = Dual-Core Intel^{®} Xeon^{®} processor 7100 series$
- $AM = Intel^{\ensuremath{\mathbb{R}}}$ Celeron^{\ensuremath{\mathbb{R}}}} processor 400 sequence
- AN = Intel[®] Pentium[®] dual-core processor
- AO = Quad-Core Intel[®] Xeon[®] processor 3200 series
- $AP = Dual-Core Intel^{®} Xeon^{®} processor 3000 series$
- AQ = Intel[®] Pentium[®] dual-core desktop processor E2000 sequence
- $AR = Intel^{\ensuremath{\mathbb{R}}} Celeron^{\ensuremath{\mathbb{R}}} processor 500 series$
- $AS = Intel^{\ensuremath{\mathbb{R}}} Xeon^{\ensuremath{\mathbb{R}}} processor 7200, 7300 series$
- Intel[®] Core[™]2 Extreme processor QX9650 and Intel[®] Core[™]2 Quad processor Q9000 AV = series
- AW = Intel[®] Core[™] 2 Duo processor E8000 series



- AX = Quad-Core Intel[®] Xeon[®] processor 5400 series
- AY = Dual-Core Intel[®] Xeon[®] processor 5200 series
- AZ = Inte[®] Core[™]2 Duo Processor and Intel[®] Core[™]2 Extreme Processor on 45-nm Process
- AAA= Quad-Core Intel[®] Xeon[®] processor 3300 series
- AAB= Dual-Core Intel[®] Xeon[®] E3110 Processor
- AAC= Intel[®] Celeron[®] dual-core processor E1000 series
- AAD= Intel[®] Core[™]2 Extreme Processor QX9775^Δ
- AAE= Intel[®] Atom[™] processor Z5xx series

^A Intel processor numbers are not a measure of performance. Processor numbers differentiate features within each processor family, not across different processor families. See <u>http://www.intel.com/products/processor_number</u> for details.

Note: The Specification Updates for the Pentium[®] processor, Pentium[®] Pro processor, and other Intel products do not use this convention.

	Stepping		
NO.	B1	Plans	ERRATA
Y1	x	NoFix	Performance Monitoring Event That Counts Intel [®] Thermal Monitor 2 Transitions (59h) Is Not Accurate
Y2	х	NoFix	Performance Monitoring Event That Counts the Number of Instructions Decoded (D0h) Is Not Accurate
Y3	х	NoFix	RDTSC Instruction May Report the Wrong Time-Stamp Counter Value
Y4	х	NoFix	Code Segment Limit Violation May Occur on 4-Gbyte Limit Check
Y5	x	NoFix	FST Instruction with Numeric and Null Segment Exceptions May Cause General Protection Faults to Be Missed and FP Linear Address (FLA) Mismatch
Y6	x	NoFix	Code Segment (CS) Is Wrong on SMM Handler When SMBASE Is Not Aligned
Y7	х	NoFix	A Locked Data Access that Spans Across Two Pages May Cause the System to Hang
Y8	x	NoFix	Processor Can Enter a Livelock Condition under Certain Conditions When FP Exception Is Pending
Y9	х	NoFix	Write Cycle of Write Combining Memory Type Does Not Self Snoop
Y10	х	NoFix	Performance Monitoring Event That Counts Floating Point Computational Exceptions (11h) Is Not Accurate.
Y11	x	NoFix	Inconsistent Reporting of Data Breakpoints on FP (MMX [™] technology) Loads
Y12	x	No Fix	An Enabled Debug Breakpoint or Single Step Trap May Be Taken after MOV SS/POP SS Instruction if it is Followed by an Instruction That Signals a Floating Point Exception
Y13	x	NoFix	SysEnter and SysExit Instructions May Write Incorrect Requestor Privilege Level (RPL) in the FP Code Segment Selector (FCS)



	Stepping		
NO.	B1	Plans	ERRATA
Y14	х	NoFix	Memory Aliasing with Inconsistent A and D Bits May Cause Processor Deadlock
Y15	Х	NoFix	RDMSR or WRMSR to Invalid MSR Address May Not Cause GP Fault
Y16	Х	NoFix	FP Tag Word Corruption
Y17	х	NoFix	Unable to Disable Reads/Writes to Performance Monitoring Related MSRs
Y18	х	NoFix	Move to Control Register Instruction May Generate a Breakpoint Report
Y19	х	NoFix	REP MOVS/STOS Executing with Fast Strings Enabled and Crossing Page Boundaries with Inconsistent Memory Types may use an Incorrect Data Size or Lead to Memory-Ordering Violations
Y20	х	NoFix	The FXSAVE, STOS, or MOVS Instruction May Cause a Store Ordering Violation When Data Crosses a Page with a UC Memory Type
Y21	х	NoFix	Machine Check Exception May Occur Due to Improper Line Eviction in the IFU
Y22	х	NoFix	POPF and POPFD Instructions That Set the Trap Flag Bit May Cause Unpredictable Processor Behavior
Y23	х	NoFix	Performance Event Counter Returns Incorrect Value on L2_LINES_IN Event
Y24	Х	NoFix	VM Bit Will Be Cleared on a Double Fault Handler
Y25	х	NoFix	Code Fetch Matching Disabled Debug Register May Cause Debug Exception
Y26	Х	NoFix	Upper Four PAT Entries Not Usable with Mode B or Mode C Paging
Y27	х	NoFix	SSE/SSE2 Streaming Store Resulting in a Self-Modifying Code (SMC) Event May Cause Unexpected Behavior
Y28			Removed, see Erratum Y4
Y29			Removed, see Erratum Y5
Y30			Removed, see Erratum Y6
Y31	х	NoFix	Page with PAT (Page Attribute Table) Set to USWC (Uncacheable Speculative Write Combine) While Associated MTRR (Memory Type Range Register) Is UC (Uncacheable) May Consolidate to UC
Y32	х	NoFix	Under Certain Conditions LTR (Load Task Register) Instruction May Result in System Hang
Y33	х	NoFix	Loading from Memory Type USWC (Uncacheable Speculative Write Combine) May Get Its Data Internally Forwarded from a Previous Pending Store
Y34	Х	NoFix	FPU Operand Pointer May Not be Cleared Following FINIT/FNINIT
Y35	х	NoFix	FSTP (Floating Point Store) Instruction Under Certain Conditions May Result In Erroneously Setting a Valid Bit on an FP (Floating Point) Stack Register



	Stepping		
NO.	B1	Plans	ERRATA
Y36	х	NoFix	Snoops during the Execution of a HLT (Halt) Instruction May Lead to Unpredictable System Behavior
Y37	х	NoFix	Invalid Entries in Page-Directory-Pointer-Table Register (PDPTR) May Cause General Protection (#GP) Exception if the Reserved Bits are Set to One
Y38	Х	No Fix	INIT does not clear global entries in the TLB
Y39	х	NoFix	Use of Memory Aliasing with Inconsistent Memory Type May Cause System Hang or a Machine Check Exception
Y40	х	NoFix	Machine Check Exception May Occur When Interleaving Code between Different Memory Types
Y41	х	NoFix	Split I/O Writes Adjacent to Retry of APIC End of Interrupt (EOI) Request May Cause Livelock Condition
Y42	х	No Fix	General Protection (#GP) Fault May Not Be Signaled On Data Segment Limit Violation above 4-G Limit
Y43	х	No Fix	DR3 Address Match on MOVD/MOVQ/MOVNTQ Memory Store Instruction May Incorrectly Increment Performance Monitoring Count for Saturating SIMD Instructions Retired (Event CFH)
Y44	х	No Fix	Processor INIT# Will Cause a System Hang if Triggered During an NMI Interrupt Routine Performed During Shutdown
Y45	х	No Fix	Certain Performance Monitoring Counters Related to Bus, L2 Cache and Power Management are Inaccurate
Y46	х	No Fix	CS Limit Violation on RSM May be Serviced before Higher Priority Interrupts/Exceptions
Y47	х	No Fix	A Write to an APIC Register Sometimes May Appear to Have Not Occurred
Y48	х	No Fix	The Processor May Report a #TS Instead of a #GP Fault
Y49	х	No Fix	Writing the Local Vector Table (LVT) when an interrupt is pending may cause an unexpected interrupt
Y50	х	No Fix	Using 2M/4M Pages When A20M# is Asserted May Result in Incorrect Address Translations
Y51	Х	No Fix	Premature Execution of a Load Operation Prior to Exception Handler Invocation
Y52	х	No Fix	Incorrect Address Computed For Last Byte of FXSAVE/FXRSTOR Image Leads to Partial Memory Update
Y53	Х	No Fix	Values for LBR/BTS/BTM will be Incorrect after an Exit from SMM
Y54	х	No Fix	FP Inexact-Result Exception Flag May Not Be Set
Y55	х	No Fix	MOV To/From Debug Registers Causes Debug Exception
Y56	х	No Fix	SYSENTER/SYSEXIT Instructions Can Implicitly Load "Null Segment Selector" to SS and CS Registers
Y57	х	No Fix	The BS Flag in DR6 May be Set for Non-Single-Step #DB Exception
Y58	Х	No Fix	Fault on ENTER Instruction May Result in Unexpected Values on Stack Frame



	Stepping		
NO.	B1	Plans	ERRATA
Y59	Х	No Fix	Unaligned Accesses to Paging Structures May Cause the Processor to Hang
Y60	х	No Fix	INVLPG Operation for Large (2M/4M) Pages May be Incomplete under Certain Conditions
Y61	Х	No Fix	Page Access Bit May be Set Prior to Signaling a Code Segment Limit Fault
Y62	Х	No Fix	EFLAGS, CR0, CR4 and the EXF4 Signal May be Incorrect after Shutdown
Y63	Х	No Fix	Store Ordering May be Incorrect between WC and WP Memory Types
Y64	х	No Fix	Performance Monitoring Event FP_MMX_TRANS_TO_MMX May Not Count Some Transitions
Y65	х	No Fix	Corruption of CS Segment Register During RSM While Transitioning From Real Mode to Protected Mode

Number	SPECIFICATION CHANGE
	There are no Specification Changes in this Specification Update revision.

Number	SPECIFICATION CLARIFICATIONS
	There are no Specification Clarifications in this Specification Update revision.

Number	DOCUMENTATION CHANGES
	There are no Documentation Changes in this Specification Update revision.



Identification Information

The Pentium M processor can be identified by the following values:

Family ¹	Model ²	Brand ID ³
0110	1001	00010110

NOTES:

1. The Family corresponds to bits [11:8] of the EDX register after Reset, bits [11:8] of the EAX register after the CPUID instruction is executed with a 1 in the EAX register.

2. The Model corresponds to bits [7:4] of the EDX register after Reset, bits [7:4] of the EAX register after the CPUID instruction is executed with a 1 in the EAX register.

3. The Brand ID corresponds to bits [7:0] of the EBX register after the CPUID instruction is executed with a1 in the EAX register.

Table 1. Intel Pentium M Processor Identification


	Product Stepping	CPUID	Core Speed		Dest		
S- Spec/ QDF			Highest Frequency Mode (HFM)	Lowest Frequency Mode (LFM)	Bus Frequenc y	Package	Note s
SL6N4	B-1	0695h	1.30 GHz	600 MHz	400 MHz	Micro-FCPGA	2,6
SL6F8	B-1	0695h	1.40 GHz	600 MHz	400 MHz	Micro-FCPGA	2,5
SL6F9	B-1	0695h	1.50 GHz	600 MHz	400 MHz	Micro-FCPGA	2,5
SL6FA	B-1	0695h	1.60 GHz	600 MHz	400 MHz	Micro-FCPGA	2,5
SL6N5	B-1	0695h	1.70 GHz	600 MHz	400 MHz	Micro-FCPGA	2,5
SL6N8	B-1	0695h	1.30 GHz	600 MHz	400 MHz	Micro-FCBGA	2,6
SL6F5	B-1	0695h	1.40 GHz	600 MHz	400 MHz	Micro-FCBGA	2,5
SL6F6	B-1	0695h	1.50 GHz	600 MHz	400 MHz	Micro-FCBGA	2,5
SL6F7	B-1	0695h	1.60 GHz	600 MHz	400 MHz	Micro-FCBGA	2,5
SL6N9	B-1	0695h	1.70 GHz	600 MHz	400 MHz	Micro-FCBGA	2,5
SL6NC	B-1	0695h	1.10 GHz	600 MHz	400 MHz	Micro-FCBGA	1,2
SL6NB	B-1	0695h	1.20 GHz	600 MHz	400 MHz	Micro-FCBGA	1,2
SL6NA	B-1	0695h	1.30 GHz	600 MHz	400 MHz	Micro-FCBGA	1, 2
SL6NJ	B-1	0695h	900 MHz	600 MHz	400 MHz	Micro-FCBGA	3,4
SL6NH	B-1	0695h	1.00 GHz	600 MHz	400 MHz	Micro-FCBGA	3,4
SL6P4	B-1	0695h	1.10 GHz	600 MHz	400 MHz	Micro-FCBGA	3,4
SL8BH	B-1	0695h	1.60 GHz	600 MHz	400 MHz	Micro-FCPGA	2,5



NOTE:	1.	VID[5:0] = 100001; VCC_CORE = 1.180 V for Highest Frequency Mode (HFM).
	2.	VID[5:0] = 101111; VCC_CORE = 0.956 V for Lowest Frequency Mode (LFM).
	3.	VID[5:0] = 101100; VCC_CORE = 1.004 V for Highest Frequency Mode (HFM).
	4.	VID[5:0] = 110110; VCC_CORE = 0.844 V for Lowest Frequency Mode (LFM).
	5.	VID[5:0] = 001111; VCC_CORE = 1.468 V for Highest Frequency Mode (HFM).
	6.	VID[5:0] = 001110; VCC_CORE = 1.484 V for Highest Frequency Mode (HFM).

Component Marking Information







Y1.	Performance Monitoring Event That Counts Intel® Thermal Monitor 2 Transitions (59h) Is Not Accurate.				
Problem:	The performance monitoring event that counts Intel Thermal Monitor 2 (Enhanced Intel SpeedStep [®] technology based) transitions may have inaccurate results.				
Implication:	: There is no functional impact of this erratum. However this Performance Monitoring Event should not be used when accurate performance monitoring is required.				
Workaround: None.					
Status:	For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary Tables of Changes</u> .				
Y2.	Performance Monitoring Event that Counts the Number of Instructions Decoded (D0h) Is Not Accurate				
Problem:	The performance-monitoring event that counts the number of instructions decoded may have inaccurate results.				
Implication:	There is no functional impact of this erratum. However the results/counts from this Performance Monitoring Event should not be considered as being accurate.				
Workaround	: None.				
Status:	For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary Tables of Changes</u> .				
Y3.	RDTSC Instruction May Report the Wrong Time-stamp Counter Value				
Problem:	The Time-stamp Counter is a 64-bit counter that is read in two 32-bit chunks. The counter incorrectly advances and therefore the two chunks may go out of synchronization causing the Read Time-stamp Counter (RDTSC) instruction to report the wrong time-stamp counter value.				
Implication:	This erratum may cause software to see the wrong representation of processor time and may result in unpredictable software operation.				
Workaround	: It is possible for BIOS to contain a workaround for this erratum.				
Status:	For the steppings affected, see the Summary Tables of Changes.				
Y4.	Code Segment Limit Violation May Occur on 4 Gigabyte Limit Check				
Problem:	Code Segment limit violation may occur on 4 Gigabyte limit check when the code stream wraps around in a way that one instruction ends at the last byte of the segment and the next instruction begins at 0x0.				



- **Implication**: This is a rare condition that may result in a system hang. Intel has not observed this erratum with any commercially available software, or system.
- **Workaround:** Avoid code that wraps around segment limit.
- Status: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.
- Y5. FST Instruction with Numeric and Null Segment Exceptions May Cause General Protection Faults to Be Missed and FP Linear Address (FLA) Mismatch
- **Problem:** FST instruction combined with numeric and null segment exceptions may cause General Protection Faults to be missed and FP Linear Address (FLA) mismatch.
- **Implication**: This is a rare condition that may result in a system hang. Intel has not observed this erratum with any commercially available software, or system.
- Workaround: None.
- Status: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.
- Y6. Code Segment (CS) Is Wrong on SMM Handler When SMBASE Is Not Aligned
- **Problem:** With SMBASE being relocated to a non-aligned address, during SMM entry the CS can be improperly updated which can lead to an incorrect SMM handler.
- **Implication**: This is a rare condition that may result in a system hang. Intel has not observed this erratum with any commercially available software, or system.
- Workaround: Align SMBASE to 32 KB.
- **Status:** For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.
- Y7. A Locked Data Access that Spans Across Two Pages May Cause the System to Hang
- **Problem:** An instruction with lock data access that spans across two pages may, given some rare internal conditions, hang the system.
- **Implication**: When this erratum occurs, the system may hang. Intel has not observed this erratum with any commercially available software or system.
- **Workaround:** A locked data access should always be aligned.
- **Status**: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.

Y8. Processor Can Enter a Livelock Condition under Certain Conditions When FP Exception Is Pending

Problem: Processor clock modulation may be controlled via a processor register (IA32_THERM_CONTROL) or via the STPCLK# signal. While the Processor clock is constantly being actively modulated at 12.5% and 25% duty cycles and there is a



pending unmasked FP exception (ES pending), if you attempt a FP load (or MMX[™] technology MOV instruction) and the load has an longer than typical latency the processor can enter a livelock.

- **Implication:** When this erratum occurs, the processor will enter a livelock condition. Intel has not observed this erratum with any commercially available software or system.
- Workaround: None.
- **Status:** For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.
- Y9. Write Cycle of Write Combining Memory Type Does Not Self Snoop
- **Problem:** Write cycles of WC memory type do not self-snoop. This may result in data inconsistency if the addresses of the WC data are aliased to WB memory type memory, which has been cached. In such a case, the internal caches will not be updated with the WC data sent on the system bus.
- **Implication**: This condition may result in a data inconsistency. Intel has not observed this erratum with any commercially available software, system, nor components.
- **Workaround:** Software should detect via the self-snoop bit in the CPUID features flags if the processor supports a self-snooping capability. Software should perform explicit memory management/flushing for aliased memory ranges on processors that do not self-snoop.
- **Status:** For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.

Y10. Performance Monitoring Event that Counts Floating Point Computational Exceptions (11h) Is Not Accurate

- **Problem:** Performance monitoring event that counts Floating Point Compare exceptions may have inaccurate results.
- **Implication**: There is no functional impact of this erratum. However this Performance Monitoring Event should not be used when accurate performance monitoring is required.
- Workaround: None.
- Status: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.

Y11. Inconsistent Reporting of Data Breakpoints on FP (MMX[™] Technology) Loads

- **Problem:** The reporting of data breakpoints on either FP or MMX technology loads is dependent upon the code faulting behavior prior to the execution of the load. If there is a fault pending prior to the execution of the load and FP exceptions are enabled there is a chance that data breakpoint on successive FP/MMX technology Loads may be reported twice.
- **Implication:** Software debuggers should be aware of this possibility. There should be no implications to software operated outside of a debug environment.

Workaround: None.



Status: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.

- Y12. An Enabled Debug Breakpoint or Single Step Trap May Be Taken after MOV SS/POP SS Instruction if it is Followed by an Instruction That Signals a Floating Point Exception
- **Problem:** A MOV SS/POP SS instruction should inhibit all interrupts including debug breakpoints until after execution of the following instruction. This is intended to allow the sequential execution of MOV SS/POP SS and MOV [r/e]SP, [r/e]BP instructions without having an invalid stack during interrupt handling. However, an enabled debug breakpoint or single step trap may be taken after MOV SS/POP SS if this instruction is followed by an instruction that signals a floating point exception rather than a MOV [r/e]SP, [r/e]BP instruction. This results in a debug exception being signaled on an unexpected instruction boundary since the MOV SS/POP SS and the following instruction should be executed atomically.
- Implication: This can result in incorrect signaling of a debug exception and possibly a mismatched Stack Segment and Stack Pointer. If MOV SS/POP SS is not followed by a MOV [r/e]SP, [r/e]BP, there may be a mismatched Stack Segment and Stack Pointer on any exception. Intel has not observed this erratum with any commercially available software, or system.
- **Workaround:** As recommended in the *IA32 Intel[®] Architecture Software Developer's Manual*, the use of MOV SS/POP SS in conjunction with MOV [r/e]SP, [r/e]BP will avoid the failure since the MOV [r/e]SP, [r/e]BP will not generate a floating point exception. Developers of debug tools should be aware of the potential incorrect debug event signaling created by this erratum.
- Status: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.

Y13. SysEnter and SysExit Instructions May Write Incorrect Requestor Privilege Level (RPL) in the FP Code Segment Selector (FCS)

- **Problem:** SysEnter and SysExit instructions may write incorrect RPL in the FP Code Segment selector (FCS). As a result of this, the RPL field in FCS may be corrupted.
- **Implication**: This is a rare condition that may result in a system hang. Intel has not observed this erratum with any commercially available software, or system.
- Workaround: It is possible for BIOS to contain a workaround for this erratum.
- **Status:** For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.
- Y14. Memory Aliasing with Inconsistent A and D Bits May Cause Processor Deadlock
- **Problem:** This erratum has not been observed with commercially available software.
- Implication: This erratum has not been observed with commercially available software.
- **Workaround:** Software that needs to implement memory aliasing in this way should manage the consistency of the Accessed and Dirty bits.



Status: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.



Y15. RDMSR or WRMSR to Invalid MSR Address May Not Cause GP Fault

- **Problem:** The RDMSR and WRMSR instructions allow reading or writing of MSRs (Model Specific Registers) based on the index number placed in ECX. The processor should reject access to any reserved or unimplemented MSRs by generating #GP(0). However, there are some invalid MSR addressers for which the processor will not generate #GP(0). This erratum has not been observed with commercially available software.
- Implication: For RDMSR, undefined values will be read into EDX:EAX. For WRMSR, undefined processor behavior may result.
- Workaround: Do not use invalid MSR addresses with RDMSR or WRMSR.
- Status: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.

Y16. FP Tag Word Corruption

- **Problem:** In some rare cases, fault information generated as the result of instruction execution may be incorrect. The result is an incorrect FP stack entry.
- **Implication**: This erratum may result in corruption of the FP Tag Word in a way that a non-valid entry in the FP Stack may become valid. The software is not expected to read a nonvalid entry. If the software attempts to use the stack entry (which is expected to be empty) the result may be an erroneous "Stack overflow".
- **Workaround:** Do not disable SSE/SSE2 in control register CR4 and avoid Code Segment Limit violation.
- **Status:** For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.

Y17. Unable to Disable Reads/Writes to Performance Monitoring Related MSRs

- **Problem:** The Performance Monitoring Available bit in the Miscellaneous Processor Features MSR (IA32_MISC_ENABLES.7) was defined so that when it is cleared to a 0, RDMSR/WRMSR/RDPMC instructions would return all zeros for reads of and prevent any writes to Performance Monitoring related MSRs. Currently it is possible to read from or write to Performance Monitoring related MSRs when the Performance Monitoring Available bit is cleared to a 0.
- Implication: It is not possible to disallow reads and writes to the Performance Monitoring MSRs. Intel has not observed this erratum with any commercially available software or system.

Workaround: None.

- Status: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.
- Y18. Move to Control Register Instruction May Generate a Breakpoint Report



Problem: A move (MOV) to Control Register (CR) instruction where Control Register is CR0, CR3 or CR4 may generate a breakpoint report.

Implication: MOV to Control Register Instruction is not expected to generate a breakpoint report.

- Workaround: Ignore breakpoint data from MOV to CR instruction.
- **Status:** For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.

Y19. REP MOVS/STOS Executing with Fast Strings Enabled and Crossing Page Boundaries with Inconsistent Memory Types may use an Incorrect Data Size or Lead to Memory-Ordering Violations

- **Problem:** Under certain conditions as described in the Software Developers Manual section "Out-of-Order Stores For String Operations in Pentium 4, Intel Xeon, and P6 Family Processors" the processor performs REP MOVS or REP STOS as fast strings. Due to this erratum fast string REP MOVS/REP STOS instructions that cross page boundaries from WB/WC memory types to UC/WP/WT memory types, may start using an incorrect data size or may observe memory ordering violations.
- **Implication:** Upon crossing the page boundary the following may occur, dependent on the new page memory type:
 - UC the data size of each write will now always be 8 bytes, as opposed to the original data size.

• WP the data size of each write will now always be 8 bytes, as opposed to the original data size and there may be a memory ordering violation.

- WT there may be a memory ordering violation.
- **Workaround:** Software should avoid crossing page boundaries from WB or WC memory type to UC, WP or WT memory type within a single REP MOVS or REP STOS instruction that will execute with fast strings enabled.
- Status: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.

Y20. The FXSAVE, STOS, or MOVS Instruction May Cause a Store Ordering Violation When Data Crosses a Page with a UC Memory Type

- **Problem:** If the data from an FXSAVE, STOS, or MOVS instruction crosses a page boundary from WB to UC memory type and this instruction is immediately followed by a second instruction that also issues a store to memory, the final data stores from both instructions may occur in the wrong order.
- **Implication**: The impact of this store ordering behavior may vary from normal software execution to potential software failure. Intel has not observed this erratum in commercially available software.
- Workaround: FXSAVE, STOS, or MOVS data must not cross page boundary from WB to UC memory type.
- Status: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.



Y21. Machine Check Exception May Occur Due to Improper Line Eviction in the IFU

- **Problem:** The processor is designed to signal an unrecoverable Machine Check Exception (MCE) as a consistency checking mechanism. Under a complex set of circumstances involving multiple speculative branches and memory accesses, there exists a one cycle long window in which the processor may signal a MCE in the Instruction Fetch Unit (IFU) because instructions previously decoded have been evicted from the IFU. The one cycle long window is opened when an opportunistic fetch receives a partial hit on a previously executed but not as yet completed store resident in the store buffer. The resulting partial hit erroneously causes the eviction of a line from the IFU at a time when the processor is expecting the line to still be present. If the MCE for this particular IFU event is disabled, execution will continue normally.
- **Implication**: While this erratum may occur on a system with any number of processors, the probability of occurrence increases with the number of processors. If this erratum does occur, a machine check exception will result. Note systems that implement an operating system that does not enable the Machine Check Architecture will be completely unaffected by this erratum (e.g., Windows* 95 and Windows 98).
- Workaround: It is possible for BIOS code to contain a workaround for this erratum.
- **Status:** For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.

Y22. POPF and POPFD Instructions That Set the Trap Flag Bit May Cause Unpredictable Processor Behavior

- **Problem:** In some rare cases, POPF and POPFD instructions that set the Trap Flag (TF) bit in the EFLAGS register (causing the processor to enter Single-Step mode) may cause unpredictable processor behavior.
- **Implication**: Single-Step operation is typically enabled during software debug activities, not during normal system operation.
- **Workaround:** There is no workaround for Single-Step operation in commercially available software. For debug activities on custom software the POPF and POPFD instructions could be immediately followed by a NOP instruction to facilitate correct execution.
- **Status:** For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.

Y23. Performance Event Counter Returns Incorrect Value on L2_LINES_IN Event

- **Problem:** The performance event counter returns an incorrect value on L2_LINES_IN event (EMON event #24H) when the L2 cache is disabled.
- **Implication**: Due to this erratum, L2_LINES_IN performance event counter should not be monitored while the L2 cache is disabled. This erratum has no functional impact.
- **Workaround:** Ignore L2_LINES_IN event when the L2 cache is disabled.
- Status: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.



Y24. VM Bit Will Be Cleared on a Double Fault Handler

- **Problem:** Following a task switch to a Double Fault Handler that was initiated while the processor was in virtual-8086 (VM86) mode, the VM bit will be incorrectly cleared in EFLAGS.
- **Implication:** When the OS recovers from the double fault handler, the processor will no longer be in VM86 mode.
- Workaround: None.
- Status: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.

Y25. Code Fetch Matching Disabled Debug Register May Cause Debug Exception

- **Problem:** The bits L0-3 and G0-3 enable breakpoints local to a task and global to all tasks, respectively. If one of these bits is set, a breakpoint is enabled, corresponding to the addresses in the debug registers DR0-DR3. If at least one of these breakpoints is enabled, any of these registers are disabled (i.e., Ln and Gn are 0), and RWn for the disabled register is 00 (indicating a breakpoint on instruction execution), normally an instruction fetch will not cause an instruction-breakpoint fault based on a match with the address in the disabled register(s). However, if the address in a disabled register matches the address of a code fetch which also results in a page fault, an instruction-breakpoint fault will occur.
- Implication: While debugging software, extraneous instruction-breakpoint faults may be encountered if breakpoint registers are not cleared when they are disabled. Debug software which does not implement a code breakpoint handler will fail, if this occurs. If a handler is present, the fault will be serviced. Mixing data and code may exacerbate this problem by allowing disabled data breakpoint registers to break on an instruction fetch.
- **Workaround:** The debug handler should clear breakpoint registers before they become disabled.
- Status: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.

Y26. Upper Four PAT Entries Not Usable With Mode B or Mode C Paging

- **Problem:** Problem: The Page Attribute Table (PAT) contains eight entries, which must all be initialized and considered when setting up memory types for the Pentium III processor. However, in Mode B or Mode C paging, the upper four entries do not function correctly for 4-Kbyte pages. Specifically, bit 7 of page table entries that translate addresses to 4-kbyte pages should be used as the upper bit of a 3-bit index to determine the PAT entry that specifies the memory type for the page. When Mode B (CR4.PSE = 1) and/or Mode C (CR4.PAE) are enabled, the processor forces this bit to zero when determining the memory type regardless of the value in the page table entry. The upper four entries of the PAT function correctly for 2-Mbyte and 4-Mbyte large pages (specified by bit 12 of the page directory entry for those translations).
- Implication: Only the lower four PAT entries are useful for 4-KB translations when Mode B or C paging is used. In Mode A paging (4-Kbyte pages only), all eight entries may be used. All eight entries may be used for large pages in Mode B or C paging.



Workaround: None identified.

Status: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.

Y27. SSE/SSE2 Streaming Store Resulting in a Self-Modifying Code (SMC) Event May Cause Unexpected Behavior

Problem: An SSE or SSE2 streaming store that results in a Self-Modifying Code (SMC) event may cause unexpected behavior. The SMC event occurs on a full address match of code contained in L1 cache.

Implication: Due to this erratum, any of the following events may occur:

1. A data access break point may be incorrectly reported on the instruction pointer (IP) just before the store instruction.

2. A non-cacheable store can appear twice on the external bus (the first time it will write only 8 bytes, the second time it will write the entire 16 bytes).

Intel has not observed this erratum with any commercially available software.

Workaround: None identified.

- **Status**: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.
- Y28. Removed; See Erratum Y4
- Y29. Removed; See Erratum Y5
- Y30. Removed; See Erratum Y6
- Y31. Page with PAT (Page Attribute Table) Set to USWC (Uncacheable Speculative Write Combine) While Associated MTRR (Memory Type Range Register) Is UC (Uncacheable) May Consolidate to UC
- **Problem:** A page whose PAT memory type is USWC while the relevant MTRR memory type is UC, the consolidated memory type may be treated as UC (rather than WC as specified in *IA-32 Intel[®] Architecture Software Developer's Manual*).
- **Implication**: When this erratum occurs, the memory page may be as UC (rather than WC). This may have a negative performance impact.

Workaround: None identified.

Status: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.

- Y32. Under Certain Conditions LTR (Load Task Register) Instruction May Result in System Hang
- **Problem:** An LTR instruction may result in a system hang if all the following conditions are met:



1. Invalid data selector of the TR (Task Register) resulting with either #GP (General Protection Fault) or #NP (Segment Not Present Fault).

2. GDT (Global Descriptor Table) is not 8-bytes aligned.

3. Data BP (breakpoint) is set on cache line containing the descriptor data. When this erratum occurs, the memory page may be as UC (rather than WC). This may have a negative performance impact.

- **Implication**: This erratum may result in system hang if all conditions have been met. This erratum has not been observed in commercial operating systems or software. For performance reasons, GDT is typically aligned to 8-bytes.
- Workaround: Do not use memory type USWC for memory that has read side-effects.
- **Status**: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.
- Y33. Loading from Memory Type USWC (Uncacheable Speculative Write Combine) May Get Its Data Internally Forwarded from a Previous Pending Store
- **Problem:** A load from memory type USWC may get its data internally forwarded from a pending store. As a result, the expected load may never be issued to the external bus.
- **Implication:** When this erratum occurs, a USWC load request may be satisfied without being observed on the external bus. There are no known usage models where this behavior results in any negative side-effects.
- **Workaround:** Do not use memory type USWC for memory that has read side-effects.
- Status: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.

Y34. FPU Operand Pointer May Not be Cleared Following FINIT/FNINIT

- **Problem:** Initializing the floating point state with either FINIT or FNINT, may not clear the x87 FPU Operand (Data) Pointer Offset and the x87 FPU Operand (Data) Pointer Selector (both fields form the FPUDataPointer). Saving the floating point environment with FSTENV, FNSTENV, or floating point state with FSAVE, FNSAVE or FXSAVE before an intervening FP instruction may save uninitialized values for the FPUDataPointer.
- Implication: When this erratum occurs, the values for FPUDataPointer in the saved floating point image or floating point environment structure may appear to be random values. Executing any non-control FP instruction with memory operand will initialize the FPUDataPointer. Intel has not observed this erratum with any commercially available software.
- **Workaround:** After initialization, do not expect the FPUDataPointer in a floating point state or floating point environment saved memory image to be correct, until at least one non-control FP instruction with a memory operand has been executed.
- Status: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.



Y35. FSTP (Floating Point Store) Instruction under Certain Conditions May Result In Erroneously Setting a Valid Bit on an FP (Floating Point) Stack Register

- **Problem:** An FSTP instruction with a PDE/PTE (Page Directory Entry/Page Table Entry) A/D bit update followed by user mode access fault due to a code fetch to a page that has supervisor only access permission may result in erroneously setting a valid bit of an FP stack register. The FP top of stack pointer is unchanged.
- Implication: This erratum may cause an unexpected stack overflow.
- **Workaround:** User mode code should not count on being able to recover from illegal accesses to memory regions protected with supervisor only access when using FP instructions.
- **Status**: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.
- Y36. Snoops during the Execution of a HLT (Halt) Instruction May Lead to Unpredictable System Behavior
- **Problem:** If during the execution of a HLT instruction an external snoop causes an eviction from the instruction fetch unit (IFU) instruction cache, the processor may, on exit from the HLT state, erroneously read stale data from the victim cache.
- **Implication**: This erratum may lead to unpredictable system behavior. Intel has only observed this condition in non-mobile configurations.
- **Workaround:** It is possible for the BIOS to contain a workaround for this erratum.
- **Status**: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.
- Y37. Invalid Entries in Page-Directory-Pointer-Table Register (PDPTR) May Cause General Protection (#GP) Exception If the Reserved Bits Are Set to One
- **Problem:** Invalid entries in the Page-Directory-Pointer-Table Register (PDPTR) that have the reserved bits set to one may cause a General Protection (#GP) exception.

Implication: Intel has not observed this erratum with any commercially available software.

- Workaround: Do not set the reserved bits to one when PDPTR entries are invalid.
- **Status**: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.

Y38. INIT Does Not Clear Global Entries in the TLB

Problem: INIT may not flush a TLB entry when:

1. The processor is in protected mode with paging enabled and the page global enable flag is set (PGE bit of CR4 register)

- 2. G bit for the page table entry is set
- 3. TLB entry is present in TLB when INIT occurs
- **Implication:** Software may encounter unexpected page fault or incorrect address translation due to a TLB entry erroneously left in TLB after INIT.



- **Workaround:** Write to CR3, CR4 (setting bits PSE, PGE or PAE) or CR0 (setting bits PG or PE) registers before writing to memory early in BIOS code to clear all the global entries from TLB.
- **Status:** For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.



Y39. Use of Memory Aliasing with Inconsistent Memory Type May Cause System Hang or a Machine Check Exception

- **Problem:** Software that implements memory aliasing by having more than one linear addresses mapped to the same physical page with different cache types may cause the system to hang or to report a machine check exception (MCE). This would occur if one of the addresses is non-cacheable and used in a code segment and the other is a cacheable address. If the cacheable address finds its way into the instruction cache, and the non-cacheable address is fetched in the IFU, the processor may invalidate the non-cacheable address from the fetch unit. Any micro-architectural event that causes instruction restart will be expecting this instruction to still be in the fetch unit and lack of it will cause a system hang or an MCE.
- Implication: This erratum has not been observed with commercially available software.
- **Workaround:** Although it is possible to have a single physical page mapped by two different linear addresses with different memory types, Intel has strongly discouraged this practice as it may lead to undefined results. Software that needs to implement memory aliasing should manage the memory type consistency.
- **Status:** For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.

Y40. Machine Check Exception May Occur When Interleaving Code between Different Memory Types

- **Problem:** A small window of opportunity exists where code fetches interleaved between different memory types may cause a machine check exception. A complex set of micro-architectural boundary conditions is required to expose this window.
- **Implication**: Interleaved instruction fetches between different memory types may result in a machine check exception. The system may hang if machine check exceptions are disabled. Intel has not observed the occurrence of this erratum while running commercially available applications or operating systems.
- **Workaround:** Software can avoid this erratum by placing a serializing instruction between code fetches between different memory types.
- Status: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.

Y41. Split I/O Writes Adjacent to Retry of APIC End of Interrupt (EOI) Request May Cause Livelock Condition

- **Problem:** When Split I/O instruction writes occur adjacent to a retry of a Local APIC End of Interrupt (EOI) request by the chipset, a livelock condition may result. The required sequences of events are:
 - 4. The processor issues a Local APIC EOI message.
 - 5. The chipset responds with a retry because its downstream ports are full. It expects the processor to return with the same EOI request.
 - 6. The processor issues a Split I/O write instruction instead.
 - 7. The chipset responds with a retry because it expected the APIC EOI.



8. The processor insists the Split I/O write instruction must be completed and issues write instruction again.

- **Implication:** A processor livelock may occur causing a system hang. This issue has only been observed in synthetic lab testing conditions and has not been seen in any commercially available applications. The erratum does not occur with Intel mobile chipset-based platforms.
- Workaround: Use the PIC instead of the APIC for the interrupt controller.
- **Status:** For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.

Y42. General Protection (#GP) Fault May Not Be Signaled On Data Segment Limit Violation above 4-G Limit

- **Problem:** Memory accesses to flat data segments (base = 00000000h) that occur above the 4-G limit (0fffffffh) may not signal a #GP fault.
- Implication: When such memory accesses occur, the system may not issue a #GP fault.
- **Workaround:** Software should ensure that memory accesses do not occur above the 4-G limit (0fffffffh).
- Status: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.

Y43. DR3 Address Match on MOVD/MOVQ/MOVNTQ Memory Store Instruction May Incorrectly Increment Performance Monitoring Count for Saturating SIMD Instructions Retired (Event CFH)

- **Problem:** Performance monitoring for Event CFH normally increments on saturating SIMD instruction retired. Regardless of DR7 programming, if the linear address of a retiring memory store MOVD/MOVQ/MOVNTQ instruction executed matches the address in DR3, the CFH counter may be incorrectly incremented.
- **Implication**: The value observed for performance monitoring count for saturating SIMD instructions retired may be too high. The size of the error is dependent on the number of occurrences of the conditions described above, while the counter is active.
- Workaround: None identified.
- **Status:** For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.

Y44. Processor INIT# Will Cause a System Hang if Triggered during an NMI Interrupt Routine Performed during Shutdown

- **Problem:** During the execution of an NMI interrupt handler, if shutdown occurs followed by the INIT# signal being triggered, the processor will attempt initialization but fail soft reset.
- **Implication**: Due to this erratum, the system may hang.
- Workaround: None identified.
- Status: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.



Y45. Certain Performance Monitoring Counters Related to Bus, L2 Cache and Power Management are Inaccurate

- **Problem:** All Performance Monitoring Counters in the ranges 21H-3DH and 60H-7FH may have inaccurate results up to \pm 7.'
- Implication: There may be a small error in the affected counts.
- Workaround: None identified.
- **Status:** For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.

Y46. CS Limit Violation on RSM May be Serviced before Higher Priority Interrupts/Exceptions

- **Problem:** When the processor encounters a CS (Code Segment) limit violation, a #GP (General Protection Exception) fault is generated after all higher priority Interrupts and exceptions are serviced. Because of this erratum, if RSM (Resume from System Management Mode) returns to execution flow where a CS limit violation occurs, the #GP fault may be serviced before a higher priority Interrupt or Exception (e.g. NMI (Non-Maskable Interrupt), Debug break(#DB), Machine Check (#MC), etc.).
- **Implication:** Operating systems may observe a #GP fault being serviced before higher priority Interrupts and Exceptions.
- Workaround: None identified.
- **Status:** For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.

Y47. A Write to an APIC Register Sometimes May Appear to Have Not Occurred

- **Problem:** With respect to the retirement of instructions, stores to the uncacheable memorybased APIC register space are handled in a non-synchronized way. For example if an instruction that masks the interrupt flag, e.g. CLI, is executed soon after an uncacheable write to the Task Priority Register (TPR) that lowers the APIC priority, the interrupt masking operation may take effect before the actual priority has been lowered. This may cause interrupts whose priority is lower than the initial TPR, but higher than the final TPR, to not be serviced until the interrupt enabled flag is finally set, i.e. by STI instruction. Interrupts will remain pending and are not lost.
- **Implication**: In this example the processor may allow interrupts to be accepted but may delay their service.
- **Workaround:** This non-synchronization can be avoided by issuing an APIC register read after the APIC register write. This will force the store to the APIC register before any subsequent instructions are executed. No commercial operating system is known to be impacted by this erratum.
- **Status:** For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.



Y48. The Processor May Report a #TS Instead of a #GP Fault

- **Problem:** A jump to a busy TSS (Task-State Segment) may cause a #TS (invalid TSS exception) instead of a #GP fault (general protection exception).
- **Implication**: Operation systems that access a busy TSS may get invalid TSS fault instead of a #GP fault. Intel has not observed this erratum with any commercially available software.

Workaround: None identified.

Status: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.

Y49. Writing the Local Vector Table (LVT) when an interrupt is pending may cause an unexpected interrupt

- **Problem:** If a local interrupt is pending when the LVT entry is written, an interrupt may be taken on the new interrupt vector even if the mask bit is set.
- **Implication**: An interrupt may immediately be generated with the new vector when a LVT entry is written, even if the new LVT entry has the mask bit set. If there is no Interrupt Service Routine (ISR) set up for that vector the system will GP fault. If the ISR does not do an End of Interrupt (EOI) the bit for the vector will be left set in the in-service register and mask all interrupts at the same or lower priority.
- **Workaround:** Any vector programmed into an LVT entry must have an ISR associated with it, even if that vector was programmed as masked. This ISR routine must do an EOI to clear any unexpected interrupts that may occur. The ISR associated with the spurious vector does not generate an EOI, therefore the spurious vector should not be used when writing the LVT.
- Status: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.

Y50. Using 2M/4M Pages When A20M# is Asserted May Result in Incorrect Address Translations

- **Problem:** An external A20M# pin if enabled forces address bit 20 to be masked (forced to zero) to emulates real-address mode address wraparound at 1 megabyte. However, if all of the following conditions are met, address bit 20 may not be masked.
 - paging is enabled
 - a linear address has bit 20 set
 - the address references a large page
 - A20M# is enabled
- **Implication:** When A20M# is enabled and an address references a large page the resulting translated physical address may be incorrect. This erratum has not been observed with any commercially available operating system.
- **Workaround:** Operating systems should not allow A20M# to be enabled if the masking of address bit 20 could be applied to an address that references a large page. A20M# is normally only used with the first megabyte of memory.
- **Status**: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.



Y51. Premature Execution of a Load Operation Prior to Exception Handler Invocation

Problem: If any of the below circumstances occur it is possible that the load portion of the instruction will have executed before the exception handler is entered.

1) If an instruction that performs a memory load causes a code segment limit violation

2) If a waiting floating-point instruction or MMX instruction that performs a memory load has a floating-point exception pending
3) If an MMX or SSE instruction that performs a memory load and has either

CR0.EM=1 (Emulation bit set), or a floating-point Top-of-Stack (FP TOS) not equal to 0, or a DNA exception pending

- Implication: In normal code execution where the target of the load operation is to write back memory there is no impact from the load being prematurely executed, nor from the restart and subsequent re-execution of that instruction by the exception handler. If the target of the load is to uncached memory that has a system side-effect, restarting the instruction may cause unexpected system behavior due to the repetition of the side-effect.
- **Workaround:** Code which performs loads from memory that has side-effects can effectively workaround this behavior by using simple integer-based load instructions when accessing side-effect memory and by ensuring that all code is written such that a code segment limit violation cannot occur as a part of reading from side-effect memory.
- Status: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.

Y52. Incorrect Address Computed For Last Byte of FXSAVE/FXRSTOR Image Leads to Partial Memory Update

- **Problem:** A partial memory state save of the 512-byte FXSAVE image or a partial memory state restore of the FXRSTOR image may occur if a memory address exceeds the 64KB limit while the processor is operating in 16-bit mode or if a memory address exceeds the 4GB limit while the processor is operating in 32-bit mode.
- **Implication:** FXSAVE/FXRSTOR will incur a #GP fault due to the memory limit violation as expected but the memory state may be only partially saved or restored.
- **Workaround:** Software should avoid memory accesses that wrap around the respective 16-bit and 32-bit mode memory limits.
- **Status:** For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.

Y53. Values for LBR/BTS/BTM will be Incorrect after an Exit from SMM

Problem: After a return from SMM (System Management Mode), the CPU will incorrectly update the LBR (Last Branch Record) and the BTS (Branch Trace Store), hence rendering their data invalid. The corresponding data if sent out as a BTM on the system bus will also be incorrect.

Note: This issue would only occur when one of the 3 above mentioned debug support facilities are used.



- Implication: The value of the LBR, BTS, and BTM immediately after an RSM operation should not be used.
- Workaround: None identified.
- **Status**: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.

Y54. FP Inexact-Result Exception Flag May Not Be Set

- **Problem:** Problem: When the result of a floating-point operation is not exactly representable in the destination format (1/3 in binary form, for example), an inexact-result (precision) exception occurs. When this occurs, the PE bit (bit 5 of the FPU status word) is normally set by the processor. Under certain rare conditions, this bit may not be set when this rounding occurs. However, other actions taken by the processor (invoking the software exception handler if the exception is unmasked) are not affected. This erratum can only occur if the floating-point operation which causes the precision exception is immediately followed by one of the following instructions:
 - FST m32real
 - FST m64real
 - FSTP m32real
 - FSTP m64real
 - FSTP m80real|
 - FIST m16int
 - FIST m32int
 - FISTP m16int|
 - FISTP m32int
 - FISTP m64int

Note that even if this combination of instructions is encountered, there is also a dependency on the internal pipelining and execution state of both instructions in the processor.

- Implication: Inexact-result exceptions are commonly masked or ignored by applications, as it happens frequently, and produces a rounded result acceptable to most applications. The PE bit of the FPU status word may not always be set upon receiving an inexact-result exception. Thus, if these exceptions are unmasked, a floating-point error exception handler may not recognize that a precision exception occurred. Note that this is a "sticky" bit, i.e., once set by an inexact-result condition, it remains set until cleared by software.
- **Workaround:** This condition can be avoided by inserting two non-floating-point instructions between the two floating-point instructions.
- Status: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.

Y55. MOV To/From Debug Registers Causes Debug Exception

Problem: When in V86 mode, if a MOV instruction is executed to/from debug register, a general-protection exception (#GP) should be generated. However, in the case when the general detect enable flag (GD) bit is set, the observed behavior is that a debug exception (#DB) is generated instead.



- **Implication**: With debug-register protection enabled (i.e., the GD bit set), when attempting to execute a MOV on debug registers in V86 mode, a debug exception will be generated instead of the expected general-protection fault.
- **Workaround:** In general, operating systems do not set the GD bit when they are in V86 mode. The GD bit is generally set and used by debuggers. The debug exception handler should check that the exception did not occur in V86 mode before continuing. If the exception did occur in V86 mode, the exception may be directed to the generalprotection exception handler.
- **Status**: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.

Y56. SYSENTER/SYSEXIT Instructions Can Implicitly Load "Null Segment Selector" to SS and CS Registers

- Problem: According to the processor specification, attempting to load a null segment selector into the CS and SS segment registers should generate a General Protection Fault (#GP). Although loading a null segment selector to the other segment registers is allowed, the processor will generate an exception when the segment register holding a null selector is used to access memory. However, the SYSENTER instruction can implicitly load a null value to the SS segment selector. This can occur if the value in SYSENTER CS MSR is between FFF8h and FFFBh when the SYSENTER instruction is executed. This behavior is part of the SYSENTER/SYSEXIT instruction definition; the content of the SYSTEM CS MSR is always incremented by 8 before it is loaded into the SS. This operation will set the null bit in the segment selector if a null result is generated, but it does not generate a #GP on the SYSENTER instruction itself. An exception will be generated as expected when the SS register is used to access memory, however. The SYSEXIT instruction will also exhibit this behavior for both CS and SS when executed with the value in SYSENTER CS MSR between FFF0h and FFF3h, or between FFE8h and FFEBh, inclusive.
- **Implication**: These instructions are intended for operating system use. If this erratum occurs (and the OS does not ensure that the processor never has a null segment selector in the SS or CS segment registers), the processor's behavior may become unpredictable, possibly resulting in system failure.
- **Workaround:** Do not initialize the SYSTEM_CS_MSR with the values between FFF8h and FFFBh, FFF0h and FFF3h, or FFE8h and FFEBh before executing SYSENTER or SYSEXIT.
- Status: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.

Y57. The BS Flag in DR6 May be Set for Non-Single-Step #DB Exception

- Problem: DR6 BS (Single Step, bit 14) flag may be incorrectly set when the TF (Trap Flag, bit 8) of the EFLAGS Register is set, and a #DB (Debug Exception) occurs due to one of the following:
 - DR7 GD (General Detect, bit 13) being bit set;
 - INT1 instruction;
 - Code breakpoint

Implication: The BS flag may be incorrectly set for non-single-step #DB exception.

Workaround: None identified.

Specification Update



Status: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.

Y58. Fault on ENTER Instruction May Result in Unexpected Values on Stack Frame

- **Problem:** The ENTER instruction is used to create a procedure stack frame. Due to this erratum, if execution of the ENTER instruction results in a fault, the dynamic storage area of the resultant stack frame may contain unexpected values (i.e. residual stack data as a result of processing the fault).
- **Implication**: Data in the created stack frame may be altered following a fault on the ENTER instruction. Please refer to "Procedure Calls For Block-Structured Languages" in *IA-32 Intel® Architecture Software Developer's Manual*, Vol. 1, Basic Architecture, for information on the usage of the ENTER instructions. This erratum is not expected to occur in ring 3. Faults are usually processed in ring 0 and stack switch occurs when transferring to ring 0. Intel has not observed this erratum on any commercially available software.
- Workaround: None identified.
- **Status:** For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.
- Y59. Unaligned Accesses to Paging Structures May Cause the Processor to Hang
- **Problem:** When an unaligned access is performed on paging structure entries, accessing a portion of two different entries simultaneously, the processor may live lock.
- **Implication**: When this erratum occurs, the processor may live lock causing a system hang.
- Workaround: Do not perform unaligned accesses on paging structure entries.
- Status: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.
- Y60. VLPG Operation for Large (2M/4M) Pages May be Incomplete under Certain Conditions
- **Problem:** The INVLPG instruction may not completely invalidate Translation Look-aside Buffer (TLB) entries for large pages (2M/4M) when both of the following conditions exist:

Address range of the page being invalidated spans several Memory Type Range Registers (MTRRs) with different memory types specified
INVLPG operation is preceded by a Page Assist Event (Page Fault (#PF) or an access that results in either A or D bits being set in a Page Table Entry (PTE))

- **Implication**: Stale translations may remain valid in TLB after a PTE update resulting in unpredictable system behavior. Intel has not observed this erratum with any commercially available software.
- **Workaround:** Software should ensure that the memory type specified in the MTRRs is the same for the entire address range of the large page.
- Status: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.



Y61. Page Access Bit May be Set Prior to Signaling a Code Segment Limit Fault

- **Problem:** If code segment limit is set close to the end of a code page, then due to this erratum the memory page Access bit (A bit) may be set for the subsequent page prior to general protection fault on code segment limit.
- **Implication:** When this erratum occurs, a non-accessed page which is present in memory and follows a page that contains the code segment limit may be tagged as accessed.
- **Workaround:** Erratum can be avoided by placing a guard page (non-present or non-executable page) as the last page of the segment or after the page that includes the code segment limit.
- Status: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.

Y62. EFLAGS, CR0, CR4 and the EXF4 Signal May be Incorrect after Shutdown

- **Problem:** When the processor is going into shutdown due to an RSM inconsistency failure, EFLAGS, CR0 and CR4 may be incorrect. In addition the EXF4 signal may still be asserted. This may be observed if the processor is taken out of shutdown by NMI#.
- **Implication**: A processor that has been taken out of shutdown may have an incorrect EFLAGS, CR0 and CR4. In addition the EXF4 signal may still be asserted.
- Workaround: None identified.
- Status: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.

Y63. Store Ordering May be Incorrect between WC and WP Memory Types

- **Problem:** According to Intel[®] 64 and IA-32 Intel Architecture Software Developer's Manual, Volume 3A "Methods of Caching Available", WP (Write Protected) stores should drain the WC (Write Combining) buffers in the same way as UC (Uncacheable) memory type stores do. Due to this erratum, WP stores may not drain the WC buffers.
- Implication: Memory ordering may be violated between WC and WP stores.
- Workaround: None identified.
- Status: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.

Y64. Performance Monitoring Event FP_MMX_TRANS_TO_MMX May Not Count Some Transitions

- **Problem:** Performance Monitor Event FP_MMX_TRANS_TO_MMX (Event CCH, Umask 01H) counts transitions from x87 Floating Point (FP) to MMX[™] instructions. Due to this erratum, if only a small number of MMX instructions (including EMMS) are executed immediately after the last FP instruction, a FP to MMX transition may not be counted.
- Implication: The count value for Performance Monitoring Event FP_MMX_TRANS_TO_MMX may be lower than expected. The degree of undercounting is dependent on the occurrences of the erratum condition while the counter is active. Intel has not observed this erratum with any commercially available software.



Workaround: None identified.

Status: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.

Y65. Corruption of CS Segment Register During RSM While Transitioning From Real Mode

- **Problem:** During the transition from real mode to protected mode, if an SMI (System Management Interrupt) occurs between the MOV to CR0 that sets PE (Protection Enable, bit 0) and the first far JMP, the subsequent RSM (Resume from System Management Mode) may cause the lower two bits of CS segment register to be corrupted.
- Implication: The corruption of the bottom two bits of the CS segment register will have no impact unless software explicitly examines the CS segment register between enabling protected mode and the first far JMP. Intel® 64 and IA-32 Architectures Software Developer's Manual Volume 3A: System Programming Guide, Part 1, in the section titled "Switching to Protected Mode" recommends the far JMP immediately follows the write to CR0 to enable protected mode. Intel has not observed this erratum with any commercially available software.

Workaround: None identified.

Status: For the steppings affected, see the <u>Summary of Tables of Changes</u>.



Specification Changes

There are no Specification Changes in this Specification Update revision.

Specification Clarifications



Specification Clarifications

There are no specification clarifications in this Specification Update revision.



Documentation Changes

Note: Documentation changes for *Intel[®] 64 and IA-32 Architectures Software Developer Manual* volumes 1, 2A, 2B, 3A, and 3B will be posted in a separate document named *Intel[®] 64 and IA-32 Architecture Software Developer's Manual* Documentation Changes. Follow the link below to become familiar with this file.

http://developer.intel.com/design/pentium4/specupdt/252046.htm